

The monthly review of the financial performance of the UK software and computing services industry

Volume 12

Number 5

Available by subscription only ISSN 0967-2583

March 2001

hoped it might fetch. The shares

But there are other bell-wethers.

One such is EDS. It was hit hard

by the Y2K hangover coupled with

dot.com fever (and the associated

growth of the e-consultancies)

which many thought would leave

the IT services monoliths behind.

But EDS' latest results (see p2)

are most encouraging with a

record forward order book, higher

than expected growth in Q4 and a

new found confidence in itself.

There is now a generally accepted

view that 30 years experience

counts for something again and is as, if not more, relevant in the

"new economy" as in the old.

fell still further post IPO.

Of bell-wethers

Bell-wethers paradoxically cause sane and intelligent people grief. After having all agreed that something is a bell-wether, what do you do if it leads you off in a direction that you don't like? The human reaction is to pretend that

suddenly that something is a bellwether no longer.

IT Staff Agencies (ITSAs) are the bell-wether of the UK IT services industry. Tracking Computer People/Delphi's fortunes for the last ten years has given a remarkably accurate forward prediction for the fortunes, or otherwise, of the whole IT services industry. The first to emerge from the recession of the early 1990s, hitting the floor again in that awful year of 1994, when the likes of CMG had to pull their float, and then remarkably strong growth...until the downturn in 1997 clearly presaged the general downturn of 1999/2000.

There are other bell-wethers. In the US, **Intel** is the semiconductor bell-wether which gave notice a year back of the problems which are now hitting PC bell-wethers like

Dell. So why was everyone so surprised? You don't get a more important bell-wether than Microsoft. Microsoft's sales of its core products actually declined for the very first time in its history in the last quarter. Earnings growth at Microsoft is forecast at a third of its rate just a year back. Similar worries currently surround Oracle's core product range.

In the world of the tech. bellwether, they don't come bigger than **Cisco**. This month they

announced results with both earnings and revenues lower than analysts had expected and gave an outlook of troubled times ahead in H1 2001 at least. Cisco shares slumped.

Of course, if there has been one really bright sector in the last couple of years it has been the telcos as both mobile and internet-related activities have propelled growth - and infrastructure spending - skywards. It has done wonders for the likes of **Logica**. **Sema** hoped to get a bit of the action with **LHS**. *It didn't quite work out that way (see p13)*. Returning to the ITSA link, this month **Glotel**, which makes much of its revenues from supplying contractors to networking companies - particularly in the US, put out a profits warning - the share price crashed by 46%.

The Orange IPO was also considered the bell-wether for



bell-wether *n.* 1 leading sheep of a flock 2 ringleader

So the lesson for today is that if you choose a bellwether, stick with it and follow it. The bell-wethers at the moment are showing that:

all the other fund raising/debt reduction exercises by the

other indebted telcos. France Telecom this month had to

reduce their float price to the bottom of the indicative range

and valued Orange at less than a third of the \$135bn they



t: - the US is headed for a worse than soft landing

 earnings expectations for 2001 are still wildly optimistic
 once realistic expectations are factored in, share prices just must fall still further (or P/Es will rise and that just ain't on!)

 the debt problems of the telcos will not be solved by the current round of IPOs.
 Drastic spending cutbacks (Capex hitting the equipment suppliers, staff cuts hitting

= System House =

the ITSAs and others) will ensue. It is naive to believe that the IT services companies with high exposure to telcos will be immune.

You may not like it and you may have to stomach making some decisions that today look hard. But ignoring the bellwether, however, is much, much worse.

But the bell-wethers are also saying that it is good to be a big, Boring, quality IT services company again. Just as we have long said that, from a financial performance viewpoint, Boring companies like Sage and Capita will be the best havens in the storm, so we also believe that the likes of EDS, Cap Gemini E&Y, IBM Global Services will provide good solid - but not exciting - growth opportunities in the troubled times which lie ahead.

March 2001



EDS - Its good to be a big, Boring IT Services company again

A year back we interviewed the top management of the UK's leading IT services companies. They were pretty universally depressed and worried - even if that wasn't the public personal Indeed, results for the first half of 2000 bore out the pessimism. We met with EDS' Dick Fredericks and the UK's John

Meyer on the day of their revenue warning which put their shares into a tail spin. It was a deeply depressing meeting. EDS had seen the Y2K having a profound effect on customers. Like Cap Gemini E&Y and most others, they had seen the "newspaper kiosk" business (i.e. that lucrative 20% of uncontracted revenues which "always seemed to come in each month") just dry up. Customers had gone into "reflective mode" over IT. I.e. they just stopped buying! New outsourcing business had died in its tracks. They were increasingly worried as they saw the upstart e-consultancies winning the web design business from their established clients. Staff were leaving in droves to join the dot.coms. Indeed on the day we met Fredericks, EDS UK MD David Courtley left to join Computacenter. EDS' CEO Dick Brown's much needed restructuring of 1999 had left "loose cannon" ex-EDS managers aplenty. To put it mildly, morale could have been better!

Six months on, the situation could not be more different. Indeed, in our 30 years experience, it would be difficult to remember such a time of fast changing fortunes.

EDS' worldwide results show revenues growing again - particularly in Q4. Indeed the order book is at record levels. This month we met with Bill Thomas - EDS' "new" UK MD. Although appointed in Sep. 00, Thomas has been with EDS since the SD-Scicon days. EDS UK performed particularly well in 2000. We would estimate that overall UK revenues grew by



around 11% to c£1.56billion - all organic too. Indeed if you exclude GM, EDS UK probably grew over 15%.

Some might argue that it's been the Ship of State (i.e. HM Govt.) which, as it supplies c50% of EDS' UK revenue, has just ploughed on through the bad times affecting the rest of the IT services market. With DHSS, Inland Revenue, Employment Services, Prisons, Courts, Police, CCTA, DVLA...the list seems endless - EDS is now responsible for departments spending 1/3rd of Tony Blair's budget. You don't tend to get to that position overnight...or by consistently doing a bad job! But that would be to underestimate EDS' success with the private sector - Rolls Royce, for example has recently extended its original recordbreaking outsourcing deal for another 12

years. EDS reports the current UK outsourcing market as "incredibly strong". Add to this a new stable management structure and lower than market average attrition rates and you get a completely different and more positive picture at EDS. As we say in our page one feature, this just must bode well for the other big, boring IT services companies. Indeed, if you look at EDS' share price performance (below) it has held up remarkably well. Our own SCS index has fallen c80% since its high in



early Mar. 00. But EDS is pretty much unchanged - indeed it is up 46% since its low after the "revenue" warning" in May 00 (referred to above). *If this is Boring...so be it!*

Other results this month

In addition to the articles in this month's System House, other results, for which information can be found on Hotnews and/or pages 5-6 are:

Alphameric	Baltimore Technologies
Brainspark	Bright Station
Gladstone	hemscott.net
Internet Business Group	Interclubnet
Interregnum	NCipher
OneclickHR	Orchestream
RDL	Royalblue
Systems International	Tornado Group

the second s	
A-Z of companies in this issue	
Autonomy	7
Capita	
CMG	
Diagonal	11
ECsoft	
EDS	2
Epic	14
Flomerics	3
ITNET	15
Logica	
Lorien	12
Lynx	11
Macro 4	11
Microgen	7
Netstore	3
Robert Walters	3
SDL	3
Sema	13
Skillsgroup	12
Staffware	14
Torex	4
Trace Computers	10
Results	5/6
SCS Index analysis	8
Share Prices	9
Mergers & Acquisitions	
IPO table	11



System House ____

ROBERT WALTERS set of results

A pretty positive first

Robert Walters, the recruitment and HR outsourcing company which includes IT staffing, announced results for the year to 31st Dec. 00. Revenue rose 21.5% to £216.8m, more significantly gross profit (i.e. stripping out contractor fees) rose by 33% to £63.5m, PBT rose 62.7% to £18.3m, and EPS increased from 8.7p to 13.2p. Commenting on the results, Daniel Affolter, Chairman said: "At this early stage in the financial year, the Group is trading in line with management expectations. The company possesses dual strength in both contract and permanent recruitment and can accommodate any corresponding shifts in clients' recruiting strategies. Furthermore, the company has a global spread of operations and strength across a broad range of sectors."

The company also announced the acquisition of an Australian recruitment company, Dunhill Group, for c£8.2m. Dunhill had revenue of c£17m and PBT of c£1.6m in the year to 31st Dec. 00.

Comment - Robert Walters (RW) returned to the London Stock Exchange in July last year, raising nearly £4m (gross) to finance the company's technology strategy. The company had originally floated in 1996, but was subsequently acquired in Nov. 98 by US-based staffing company, and NASDAQ-listed, Staffmark (now called Edgewater Technologies) in an all share deal valued at c£113m. Founder, and CEO, Walters continued to run the business as an autonomous division within Staffmark. However the 'merger' turned sour after Staffmark shares dived in value. In an ironic series of events, Staffmark decided to sell its entire shareholding in RW to pay down debts of over £180m and to focus on e-commerce opportunities. RW's return to the LSE was at a conservative 170p, having indicated a price range of 160p-200p in its prospectus - a sensible move given the state of the market

FLOMERICS Good progress during 2000

Flomerics - who provide analysis software to the telecomms, semiconductor and computer industries - has announced results for the year to 31st Dec. 00. Revenue is up 35% to £11.8m, PBT is up 48% to £1.2m with EPS up from 18.5p to 30p. Commenting on the outlook, Chairman, David Mann, said: "The directors see good prospects for the continuing growth of Flomerics' businesses. As a supplier of virtual-prototyping solutions for two of the most critical design bottlenecks challenging the electronics industry today, we believe that, despite the current turbulence in the technology markets, Flomerics is faced with major market opportunities".

Impressive set of results

SDL has announced results for the year ended SDL 31st Dec. 00. Turnover for the period was up 129% from £13m in 1999 to £29.7m (organic growth of almost 70%). LBT of £550K in 1999 was converted to a profit of £1.1m and a loss per share of 1.78p was converted into EPS of 0.93p. Mark Lancaster, Chairman, commented "The Board anticipates that 2001 will be another year of strong revenue growth, in line with the benefits of a full year's contribution from the acquisitions and the further establishment of SDLWebFlowtm as the leading multilingual

NetStore, an ASP, has announced results for the six months to 31st Dec. 00, showing turnover up 210% to £1.15m, compared to the six months to 31st Dec. 99, LBT has deepened from £1.1m to £5.4m, and Loss Per Share has also deepened from 2.04p to 6.14p. Commenting on the

at the time. We were pleased to see another established staff agency join the London market, as the list of UKbased staff agencies gets ever shorter as consolidation within the industry continues.

Admittedly RW is not a 'pure' IT staff agency - its largest division, Professional, places staff into the finance and commerce sectors, and revenue from IT recruitment accounted for less than 20% of the total in 1999. But this sector diversification, and its global operations have helped to insulate RW from the Y2K downturn and the current uncertainty in the telecom's sector. Indeed, RW reports that net fee income in the IT recruitment division increased "substantially", as the company reacted to the increasing demand for permanent staff.

Reliance on the UK market is reducing, as this year overseas operations accounted for 27% of total revenue compared to 23% in 1999. The UK operation grew by 15%, Australia and NZ by 25%, but it was the rest of the world (ROW) that put in the best performance, growing by 109%. The ROW also contributed significantly to the PBT figure, accounting for 21% of the total (from 9% of total revenues). Overall, PBT increased c63% to £18.2m, with the UK operation up a super 77% to £12.6m.

With a presence in 13 countries including Japan, Hong Kong, Singapore and South Africa, RW is well placed to compete for global recruitment opportunities, and the addition of Dunhill will help in the "cross-border" placements of candidates. Indeed, RW is doing many of the things that we recommended ITSAs do in our most recent report on the UK IT Staff Agency Market: offering value-add services, providing permanent as well as contract recruitment services, expanding overseas, developing a web-presence to attract candidates etc. etc. So all in all, a pretty positive first set of results.

Shares in RW ended the month at 193p, down 18% from the beginning of the year where it started at 235p.

Comment: Flomerics has made good progress during 2000, and now generates 56% of revenue from customers in the US (an increase of 40% in revenue terms). In addition the Far East is opening up as a good market for Flomerics with £1.5m revenue from business in the Far East in 2000, up 64%.

The company generates the majority of its revenues from its Flotherm product - used by electronics manufacturers to improve the thermal design of electronics equipment. Besides revenue from product sales, Flomerics is increasing the amount of revenue from consultancy and training, with its outsourced thermal design service having tripled sales to £750K in the recent year. All in all, another good set of results. Share price is up 14% at 825p.

content management system".

Comment - SDL's strategy of providing the products and services to assist its clients' globalisation has seen the Group report an impressive set of results at a time when other companies were struggling post-Y2K. The growth, which has been both organic and through acquisition (four new companies have been acquired and integrated), means that headcount in the year has grown 160% from 287 employees in 13 offices to 746 in 24 offices. SDL floated in Dec. 99 at 134p and since then, has seen its share price increase 151% to 336p.

results, CE Gary Smith said: "We continue to perform well against our plans and have made real strategic progress. Our aim now is to maximise the opportunities offered by highly scalable package ASP as fully as we are able while carefully controlling costs and conserving our cash".

— System House =

March 2001

Torex - Expecting a period of consolidation

Torex, the IT solutions provider to the healthcare and retail markets, has announced preliminary results for the year ending 31st Dec. growth in EPS and a market capitalisation of £650m by 2003. Using the adjusted basic figure (undiluted) it's claiming 75% EPS growth on the year and an average of 50% over the last four.

Torex is quite a lot different now than a year ago, but all the changes seem to be in the right direction. We are pleased



00. Turnover was £88.4m compared to £46.8m in 1999 - an increase of almost 90%. PBT also increased from £4.0m to £5.1m - up 28%, but diluted EPS fell from 13.3p to 9p (adjusted diluted EPS excluding goodwill amortisation and exceptional items rose from 11.3p to 19.9p).

Comment - A year ago Torex set its targets at 20%+ annual

CAPITA Capita "bores" again! Awesome Capita Group once

again showed everyone how it should be done in tough times when exec. Chairman Rod Aldridge OBE announced results for the year to 31^{st} Dec. 00. Total turnover rose 39% to £453m, PBT rose 24% to £40m (after goodwill!) and EPS increased 14% to 3.75p. Almost whichever way you look at them, the numbers are wonderful. Organic growth was up 23% to £400m and even revenue from their acquisitions grew 12% in the relatively short time under Capita's wing. 'Underlying' profit (pre-goodwill) grew 52% to £51.2m - 29% of that was organic. Overall margin was the only number to show any decrease – down from 9.8% to 8.8% (still very good indeed for an outsourcing company) but operating margins increased from 10.9% to 12%. Phew! All this was done with a mix of major contract wins (some £700m this year compared to £270m in 1999 including a

System House =



to see the tight focus with the

share of GP sites), which is the focus of growth. Whilst the company expects a 'period of consolidation' after the Laufenburg deal in Dec. 00, with strengthened management and cash still available, expect to see this acquisitive company making further inroads into Europe before long. The share price finished the month up 22% at 743p.

£323m/10 year deal at Abbey National), contract extensions and, of course, their trademark acquisitions (**IRG** and **Eastgate**). And there's much more to come as, according to Aldridge, "(2001) started strongly with current trading materially ahead of the corresponding period last year ... (we view) the current year and beyond with considerable confidence".

Comment: Coming from almost anyone else, the "confidence" word would be treated with some scepticism, especially in today's market. But Capita has shown year after year after year that it can deliver the goods and more. Indeed, Capita is now the ONLY UK IT services company* (although they don't really like to be called that any more) that holds the coveted **System House Boring Award** for 10 years (plus) uninterrupted growth in PBT and EPS. Their local government BPO has not suffered as much of the 'wobbles' as **ITNET** – and Capita had **always** used the

more conservative method for revenue/profit recognition that ITNET is now using. Capita is also in central government, education and increasingly (36%, up from 34%) in the private sector – but it still sticks to its knitting, basically long term outsourcing IT and back office services. What a tribute to the top team – all of whom, by the way, are 'boring' accountants! No wonder the bottom line looks so good. Nonetheless, Capita's share price fell on results day, and ended the month at 481p, down 2%.

* Sage is the only other current System House Boring Award holder - but Sage is a products company.



March 2001

	Quoteo	d Comp	anies - F	Results	Sei	rvice	Note		d Names	indi	cate result	s announc Kewill Syste	ed this mor	nth.
REV PBT EPS	Final - Sep 99 In £571,000 -£775,000 -0.860		Final - Sep 00 £2,048,000 -£3,508,000 -3,41p	Comparison +258.7% Loss both Loss both	PBT	Interim - Jun 99 £7,677,884 £509,549 7,00p	Final - Dec 99 £15,774,254 £1,042,075 13,200	Interim - Jun 00 £8,302,280 £611,162 8,10p	Comparison +8.1% +19.9% +15.7%		Interim - Sep 99 £23,129,000 £2,336,000 1,300		Interim - Sep 00 £50,419,000 £1,803,000 -1,100	Comparison +118.0% -22.8% Profit to loss
REV PBT FPS	10000 10000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	AFA Syster Final - Dec 99 £1,779,000 -£581,000 -3,40p	ms plc	Comparison 4462.7% Loss both Loss both	REV	Final - Nov 99 £72,376,000 £8,184,000 7,520	Diagona		Comparison +14.3% -40.9% -56.1%	REV		eystone Sof		Comparison -16.6% Profit to loss Profit to loss
REV PBT EPS	Interim - Sep 99 £9,765,000 £1,530,000 5.05p	AIT Grou Final - M ar 00 £21,693,000 £3,651,000 11.81p	p plc Interim - Sep 00 £14,218,000 £2,003,000 6,49p	Comparison +45.6% +30.9% +28.5%	PBT	Interim - Sep 99 £335,957 -£453,525 -1.23p	·£3,425,999 ·9.960	n plc Interim - Sep 00 £969,022 -£3,767,777 -8,230	Comparison +214.5% Loss both Loss both	REV	Knowledg Final - Dec 98 £713,010 £58,987 0.35p	ge Managem	ent Software Final - Jun 00 £2,091,986 f -£3,078,772 -5,400	plc Comparison Not comparable Profit to loss Profit to loss
REV PBT EPS	Final-Nov 99 £25,330,000 £3,050,000 3.620	Alphamer	Final - Nov 00 £54,408,000 £3,891,000 1.960	Comparison +114.8% +27.6% -45.9%	REV	Final - Dec 99 £67,304,000 £3,416,000 17,30p	ECsoft Gro	Einal - Dec 00 £73,204,000 £1,370,000 -4.800	Comparison +8.8% -59.9% Profit to loss	PBT		Final - Dec 99 £1,838,025 -£83,497 -0.100	ystems Group Interim - Jun 00 £895,739 -£425,722 -0.60p	Profit to loss Profit to loss
REV PBT EPS	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	Alterian <u>Final - Mar 00</u> £1,011,140 £3,905 0,380 Anite Grou	Interim - Sep 00 £606,000 -£976 -2.10p	Comparison +62.0% Loss both Loss both	REV	Interim - Sep 99 £44,060,000 -£37,907,000 -29,200	£203,265,000 £49,275,000 22,80p	Interim - Sep 00 £40,715,000 -£82,348,000 -72,700 rocessing plo	Comparison -7.6% Loss both Loss both	REV PBT EPS	Interim - Dec 99 £403,000,000 £35,100,000 5,40p	Logica Final - Jun 00 £847,400,000 £98,100,000 17,500		Comparison +25.3% +65.0% +68.5%
REV PBT EPS	Interim - Oct 99 £83,930,000 £4,690,000 1.00p	Final - A pr 00 £158,976,000 £7,171,000 1,300 onomy Corp	Interim - Oct 00 £85,665,000 £3,041,000 0.200	Comparison +2.1% -35.2% -80.0%	PBT	Elect Final - Sep 99 £10,492,000 £2,377,000 6.850	Epic Grou	Final - Sep 00 £8,353,000 £1,115,000 2,730	Comparison -20.4% -53.1% -60.1%	PBT	E0100111 Final - Dec 99 £42,165,000 £7,092,000 3,18p	Lorien	Final - Dec 00 £56,702,000 £4,662,000 1,850	Comparison +34.5% -34.3% -41.8%
REV PBT EPS	Final - Dec 99 £16,511,000 £678,000 -2.800	Axon Grou	Final - Dec 00 £49,139,000 £15,519,000 8,000 Up plc		REV PBT EPS		Final - May 00 £4,398,000 £765,000 3.12p ink Manageo	Interim - Nov 00 £3,712,000 £567,000 2.17p Services plo	+95.0% +162.5% +155.3%	REV PBT EPS	Final - Nov 99 £138,817,000 -£1,649,000 -8.50p	Lynx Grou	Final - Nov 00 £111,588,000 -£2,718,000 -12,10p p plc	Comparison -19.6% Loss both Loss both
REV PBT EPS	111,744,000 £1,686,000 2,200	£25,378,000 £4,560,000 5.700 Azlan Grou		Comparison +46.5% +66.3% +54.5%	REV	Interim - Sep 99 £4,069,000 £189,000 1.280	£7,596,000 £340,000 2.190 F.I. Grou		-1.0% -8.5% -9.4%		£212,541,000 £8,269,000 4.80p	Interim - Mar 00 £111,018,000 £1,789,000 0.980 MMT Compu	£250,482,000 £6,106,000 1.980 ting plc	Comparison +17.9% -26.2% -58.8%
REV PBT EPS	Interim Sep 99 £193,984,000 £2,369,000 1,500 Balt Final - Dec 99	Final - Mar 00 £410,604,000 £9,258,000 6.100 imore Techi	Interim - Sep 00 £261,744,000 £5,374,999 3,300 nologies plc Final - Dec 00	Comparison +34.9% +126.9% +306.7% Comparison	PBT	Interim - Oct 99 £145,379,000 £9,539,000 2.710 Interim - Jun 99	£307,696,000 £17,391,000 3.91p Financial Ob Final - Dec 99		Comparison 440.3% Profit to loss Profit to loss	EPS	£41,024,210 £9,710,952 52,200	Interim - Feb 00 £16,800,000 £2,000,000 10,500 Macro 4	£37,734,000 £5,976,000 32,20p	Comparison -8.0% -38.5% -38.3%
REV PBT EPS	£23,272,000 •£31,351,000 •10,27p	aron Corpoi	£74,224,000 £94,185,000 -23,100	+218.9% Loss both Loss both	PBT	£10,690,000 £2,649,000 5.20p Final - Dec 99	£22,301,000 £4,656,000 8,870 Flomerics G	£8,874,000 -£1,573,000 -3,330	Comparison ·17.0% Profit to loss Profit to loss Comparison	REV	£17,086,000 £4,751,000 15.30p	£38,671,000 £10,611,000 33,200 anpower Sol	£21,952,000 £1,554,000 1,00p	Comparison +28.5% -67.3% -93.5% Comparison
REV PBT EPS	£2,384,000 •£175,000 n/a Bond Interim • Jun 99	£1,392,585 •£127,831 •3.700 Internationa Final • Dec 99	£3,294,936 -£489,490 -16,000 I Software plc Interim - Jun 00	Not comparable Loss both Loss both	REV PBT EPS	£8,713,000 £807,000 18.60p Fo Interim - Sep 99	cus Solution: Final - Mar 00	£11,763,000 £1,182,000 30,00p s Group plc Interim - Sep 00	+35.0% +46.5% +61.3% Comparison	PBT EPS	£1,896,518 -£1,641,522 -20,86p		£1,611,619 -£2,790,867 -27.73p f plc Interim - Oct 00	-15.0% Loss both Loss both Comparison
REV PBT EPS REV	£4,567,000 £327,000 1.580 Final - Dec 99 £174,452,000	£8,457,169 -£789,085 -4.810 Bright Stat	£4,519,000 £141,000 0,55p ion plc Final - Dec 00 £57,642,000	-1.1% -56.9% -65.2% Comparison -67.0%	PBT EPS	£238,000 -£504,000 -2,700 Final - A pr 99 £2,732,000	£721,000 -£1,039,000 -5.600 Freeserv	£828,000 -£1,224,000 -6.200 e plc Final - A pr 00 £19,557,000	+247.9% Loss both Loss both Comparison		£112,351,000 £5,015,000 0.02p Final - Dec 99 £31,324,000	£227,283,000 -£35,369,000 -24,900 Microget	£96,911,000 -£7,310,000 -0.05 n plc Final - Dec 00 £25,344,000	Profit to loss Profit to loss Comparison
PBT EPS REV	£3,650,000 -3,400	Systems Gr Final - Jun 99 £32,386,000	-£128,944,000 -77,500 Oup Holdings Interim - Sep 00	Loss both Loss both	PBT EPS	-£1,511,000 -5220.00p	iresham Com	-£22,932,000 -2.200	+615.8% Loss both Loss both Comparison -23.1%	PBT EPS	£1,524,000 £1,834,000 5,700	Misys Final - May 00 £708.800.000	-£3,086,000 -5,200 DIC Interim - Nov 00	-19.1% Profit to loss Profit to loss Comparison +19.9%
PBT EPS REV	Interim - Sep 99 £10,932,203	£750,000 0.620 Cadcentre C Final - Mar 00 £23,889,000	-£145,000 -0.26p aroup plc Interim - Sep 00 £12,900,000	Profit to loss Profit to loss Comparison	PBT EPS	-£864,000 -2.290 Interim - Jun 99 £19,647,000	£49,245,000	-£4,273,000 -9,380 iT plc Interim - Jun 00 £33,277,000	Loss both Loss both	PBT EPS	£48,300,000 6,000 Interim - Oct 99 £541,678	£1,358,811	£37,400,000 4,700 plc Interim Oct 00 £881,263	-22.6% -21.7% Comparison +37.3%
PBT EPS REV PBT	£1,869,919 7,920 Final - Dec 99 £327,199,000 £32,200,000	£4,338,000 17,400 Capita Gro	9,35p	+18.0% +23.0% +18.0% Comparison +22.5% +24.1%	REV	£3,614,000 4.79p Interim - July 99 £71,900,000 £5,326,000	10.90p arvey Nash Final Jan 00 £150,998,000	5.300	+10.6%	REV	-£390,090 -3.10p Final - Jun 99 £283,943,000 £18,853,000	-£857,573 -6.800 Morse Hold	-£466,426 -3,400 ings plc Final - Jun 00 £506,316,000 £22,919,000	Loss both Loss both Comparison +78.3% +21.6%
REV	3.30p Interim - Sep 99 £11,688,000 £55,000	Cedar Gro Final - Mar 00 £36,314,000 £4,829,000	up plc Interim · Sep 00 £23,367,000	+13.6% Comparison +99.9% Profit to loss	REV	11.810	27.640 Systems Se Final - Mar00 £26,863,817 -£308,504	12.250 rvices Group Interim · Sep 00 £10,262,000 ·£1,744,000	+3.79	REV	22.700 Interim - July 99 £99,869,000 £25,000	MSB Internat Final - Jan 00 £184,936,000 £4,958,000	10,100 tional plc Interim - July 00 £75,040,000	-55.597 Comparison +33.1% -99.4%
REV PBT EPS	0.10p Final - Jul 99 £4,654,000 £476,000	4.200 Charteris	s PIc Final - Jul 00 £6,716,000 £371,000	Profit to loss Comparison +44.3% -22.1%	REV	Final - Jun 99 £40,047,000 £4,335,000	-1.580 CM Computer	Final - Jun 00 £49,535,000 £4,513,000	Loss both Comparison +23.7% +4.1%	REV	0.10p Final - Jun 99 £1,969,751 £279,862	17.30p NetBene	Final - Jun 00 £7,520,100 -£4,591,500	Comparison +281.8% Profit to loss
REV PBT EPS	35.300 C Interim - Jun 99 £1,561,000 £253,000 1.00p	Final - Dec 99 £3,112,623 £382,336 1,500	Interim - Jun 00 £1,331,000 £157,000	-27.2% Comparison -14.7% -37.9% -40.0%	REV	14.900 Interim - Jun 99 £6,088,000 £262,000 1.280	IDS Grou Final - Dec 99 £13,614,000 £1,125,000 6,110	Interim- Jun 00 £6,863,000	Comparison	REV	0.020 Interim - Dec 99 £546,430 -£1,113,200 -2.040	Netstore Final - Jun 00 £1,372,632 -£4,894,738 -7,57p	0.320 plc intenm · Dec 00 £1,152,321 -£5,425,820 -6,14p	Profit to loss Comparison +110.99 Loss both Loss both
REV PBT EPS	Final - Dec 99 £608,588,000 £83,629,000 10,70p	CMG	Final - Dec 00 £810,400,000 £83,100,000 7,80p	+33.2%	REV PBT EPS	Interim - Jun 99 £4,090,000 -£370,000 -1,200	Final - Dec 99 £7,218,738 -£3,020,988 -8,160	nents Group p Interim - Jun 00 £4,721,000 -£801,000 -1.900	Companson +15.4% Loss both Loss both	PBT	Interim - Jun 99 £2,004,000 -£363,000 -0,700	Nettec Final - Dec 99 £4,798,000 -£2,334,000 -19,600	plc Interim - Jun 00 £7,737,000 -£2,538,000 -2,700	Comparison +286.1% Loss both Loss both
REV PBT EPS	Interim - Sep 99 £8,413,000 £1,562,000 7.80p Com	Comino Gro <u>Final - Mar 00</u> <u>£20,452,000</u> <u>£6,020,000</u> <u>29,900</u> pass Softwa	1nterim - Sep 00 £8,453,000 £1,499,000	Comparison 40.5% -4.0% -7.7%	REV PBT EPS	Final - Sep 99 £21,000 -£913,000 -173.40p	iSOFT Gro	Final - Sep 00 £9,564,000 £3,176,000 2,40p	Comparison +45442.9% Loss to Profit Loss to Profit	PBT	Interim - Oct 99 £67,736,000 -£7,952,000 -3,17p		-0.430	Comparison 17.8% Loss both Loss both
REV PBT EPS	Interim - May 99 £572,451 -£63,300 -0.60p		Interim - May 00 £965,353 £53,723 0,49p oup plc	Comparison +68.6% Loss to profit Loss to profit	PBT	Interim - Oct 99 £5,416,000 £532,000 0,38p	Final - A pr 00 £17,024,000 £2,685,000 0.97p I S Solutio	Interim - Oct 00 £11,124,000 £1,340,000 0.72p ons plc	Comparison +105.4% +151.9% +89.5%	REV PBT EPS	Interim - Jun 99 £10,589,000 £2,200,000 0,76p	Final - Dec 99 £21,282,000 £1,544,000 0.360 Orbital Softv	Interim - Jun 00 £18,822,000 £2,150,000 0,410 ware Plc	Comparison +77.8% -2.3% -46.1%
REV PBT EPS	Final Jun 99 £293,750,000 £12,679,000 34,90p	Computace	Final - Jun 00 £288,015,000 £2,080,000 8,500 nter plc	Comparison -2.09 -83.69 -75.69	REV PBT EPS	Interim - Jun 99 £4,277,000 £362,000 1,040	£11,818,000 £1,205,000 3.420	£482,000 1,35p plc	+52.79 +33.19 +29.89	PBT	Interim - Sep 99 £91,575 -£1,036,041 -0,910 Or	Final - Mar 00 £190,404 -£2,339,462 -2,040 chestreamL	oldings plc	Comparison +299.2% Loss both Loss both
REV PBT EPS	Interim Jun 99 £904,816,000 £40,732,000 14,600	Final - Dec 99 £1,760,628,000 £75,136,000 28,100 DCS Grou Final - Dec 99	£926,725,000 £19,187,000 7.10p	Comparison +2.49 -52.99 -51.49 Comparison	REV PBT EPS	Final - Dec 99 £130,764,000 £9,836,000 10,14p	Jasmin	Final - Dec 00 £137,302,000 -£1,423,000 -0.180 plc	+5.0% Profit to loss Profit to loss	REV	.£4,142,900 .6,700	Parity	-£10,541,300 -10,40p	Comparison Not comparable Not comparable Not comparable Comparison
REV PBT EPS	Intenm - Jun 99	£164,910,000 £8,129,000 18,980 ta & Resear Final - Dec 99	£74,810,000 -£1,184,000 -4,190 ch Services p	+0.5% Profit to loss Profit to loss IC	PBT	£2,980,000 -£94,000 -1.880 Kalar	£1,052,000 -22,340 mazoo Comp	-£490,000 -10,370 uter Group pl	Loss both Loss both C	PBT	£153,556,000 £10,240,000 4,530	£314,154,000 £18,561,000 7.770 Patsyster Final - Dec 99	£139,241,000 £6,538,000 2,730 ns plc	-9.3% -36.2% -39.7% Comparison
REV PBT EPS	£4,517,000 £214,000 0,53p	£8,176,000 £236,000 0,520	£6,973,000 £313,000	+54,4% +46,3% +35,8%	PBT	£32,768,000 £754,000 0.90p	£6,933,000		Profit to loss		£270,000 -£585,000 -4.400	£608,000 -£1,758,000 -2,800	£1,091,000 -£3,835,000	+304.1% Loss both Loss both

March 2001

____ System House ____

Planit Hotidings pic SDL pic Telework Group pic Pres 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11330.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000 11300.000	Q	uoted C	ompan	ies - Re	sults Se	ervi	се	Note: H	iahliahted I	Names in	dic	ate result	ts annour	nced this	month.	
Home Order Fraue Deck 20 Fraue Deck	-				-		Contraction of the local division of the			The local division of the local division of the	-					
EVEN EXPLANCE Explana		Interim - Oct 991			Comparison		Final - Dec 99			Comparison		Interim - Sep 99			Comparison	
TPE Total care Total care <td>REV</td> <td>£6,041,000</td> <td>£13,304,000</td> <td>£9,119,000</td> <td>+51.0%</td> <td>REV</td> <td>£12,960,000</td> <td></td> <td>£29,730,000</td> <td>+129.4%</td> <td>REV</td> <td>£8,252,000</td> <td>£17,363,000</td> <td>£10,409,000</td> <td>+26.1%</td>	REV	£6,041,000	£13,304,000	£9,119,000	+51.0%	REV	£12,960,000		£29,730,000	+129.4%	REV	£8,252,000	£17,363,000	£10,409,000	+26.1%	
Policy Master Group pic Table Conf (Conf) Table Conf (Conf) Table Conf (Conf) Table Conf (Conf) PST Group pic Exclusion Frail Accol	PBT	£1,273,000	£2,483,000 2,300	£980,000	-23.0%	FPS	£796,000	Concept of the	£1,059,000	Loss to profit	PBT	-£481,000	£2,705,000	-£890,000	+85.0%	
Image: Large: Train Large: Train Large: Provide set Pro	The second				-61.37		Godd H	Sema			100	0.529			+110,0%	
Part Exact Display Part Council Part And Display			Final - Dec 99		Comparison					Comparison				Final - Mar 00	Comparison	
IPE 3520 Dia Cool Call of the set of	REV				+5.0%	REV									+39.5%	
PBC Consupple ServicePower Technologies pic Ternee Chapma Group pic Image_prints Figst Consuston	FPS	2500,000	11,062,58/			PBI			-13100	Profit to loss		-13,381,000		-12,105,000		
Image: Lange: Fail: Description: Lange: Fail: D	Let 91	3.5001			-27.17	Fra		cePower Te		Fight to 1955	Fr S	Tere	ance Chanma	n Group plc	LUSS LOUI	
By U 2556000 CANSTON	1	Interim - Jun 99			Comparison					Comparison		Einal, Aug 99			Comparison	
CGSP Group pic Starwood International pic Torox Pick					+39.1%			£3,760,910	£1,151,000	-37.9%	REV	£30,641,000			+0.8%	
CGSP Group pic Starwood International pic Torox Pick	PBI		£11,545	£10,111,000	+48.0%	PBI			12,697,000	Loss both	PBI	±4,464,000		14,100,009	.19.90	
Herm, Junto: Frail, Descio Herm, Junto: Commance Herm, Junto: Frail, Descio Herm, Junto: Commance Frail, Descio	EL SI	10.5001		ip plc						6033 000			Torex			
Part ATRACOM ACTRACOM Less berging bit Less berging bit Less berging bit Less berging bit Counting Counting Frail Levy 20		Interim - Jun 99	Final - Dec 99	Interim - Jun 00	Comparison		Interim - Jun 99	Final - Dec 99		Comparison		Final - Dec 99		Final - Dec 00	Comparison	
Cuantica pic Total Systems pic Total Systems pic Herm Sarge Frail Nov 20 Fra	REV	£19,961,000	£50,538,000	£21,010,000		REV	£22,493,000	£47,186,000	£24,097,000	+7.1%	IREV	£46,762,000		£88,425,000	+89.1%	
Cuantica pic Total Systems pic Total Systems pic Herm Sarge Frail Nov 20 Fra	EPS		5380	-1.130		EPS		11 400	5.100	+18.6%	EPS	13.300		9,000	-32.3%	
Intern. Marsoli Frail. Nov.20 Commandsoli Frail. Nov.20			Quantica	aplc				Skillsgro	up plc				Total Syste	ms plc	All man and	
PET 1.1592.000 1.2524.000 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.4592.01 1.		nterim - May 99	Final · Nov 99	Interim · May 00		DEL	Final · Nov 99		Final - Nov 00		DEL	Interim · Sep 99	Final - Mar 00	Interim - Sep 00	Companison	
IPP 2560 2000 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710 2710		£8,286,000 £1,593,000	£18,153,000 £3,224,000	£9,2/8,000 £1,463,000	+12.0%	PRT			£140,700,000	Profit to loss	PRT	£1,180,727	£1,958,040 .£579,589	£1,584,209 £156.071		
FMJ [c] Sopheon pic Touchstone Group pic Figl: 6029 20150000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000 2025000<			6.02p	2710	+2.7%	EPS		1000		Profit to loss					Loss to Profit	
FEV 14221000 20756000 -2056000 -2056000 1510000 1010000 1011000 15113000 101000 15113000 101000 101000 1010000 151131000 101000 151131000 101000 151131000 101000 151131000 101000 101000 151131000 101000 101000 101000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 10100000000 1010000000000000000000000000000000000		and the second	RM pl	C								T	ouchstone G	iroup plc		
PBT 512262.000 1252/000 225/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 1267/000 <th< td=""><td>DCU</td><td>Final - Sep 99</td><td>utes of the second second second</td><td>Final - Sep 00</td><td>Comparison</td><td></td><td>Interim - Jun 99</td><td>Final - Dec 99</td><td>Interim - Jun 00</td><td>Comparison</td><td>0.5</td><td>Interim · Sep 99</td><td>Final - Mar 00</td><td>Interim - Sep 00</td><td>Comparison</td></th<>	DCU	Final - Sep 99	utes of the second second second	Final - Sep 00	Comparison		Interim - Jun 99	Final - Dec 99	Interim - Jun 00	Comparison	0.5	Interim · Sep 99	Final - Mar 00	Interim - Sep 00	Comparison	
PPS 3280 Batt International PLA00 1/228 (PS) 3/200 (331 D0 PS) 1/200 Pack PS 1/200 Pack PS 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200 1/200					+28.0%	REV		£1,510,000	£3,098,000	+512.3%	REV	£4,812,000				
Batt International PIC Spring Group piC Trade Computing International PIC First Order Firs	EPS				-19.4%	EPS		-10.100	-13,387,000	Loss both	EPS	4,750			47.8%	
FEV 27570.000 -61.273 REV 2266.61.000 1356.05.000 -7.158 REV 26.69.300 11.0500 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 -3.95 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000 0.158.2000		F	Raft Internat	ional Plc		1000			oup plc		1					
PET 1333.000 1471.000 5592 PET 1470.000 164.32000 Print to bass PET 1973.000 2331.000 11073.000 10973.000 1373.000 1273.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000 1373.000		Final - Oct 99		Final - Oct 00	Comparison		Interim · Oct 99	Final - A pr 00	Interim - Oct 00	Comparison		Interim - Nov 99	Final - May 00	Interim - Nov 00	Comparison	
IPES 0.37cl Profit bits 482cl 1156cl 52cl 482cl First 4.325 First 4.335 <		£7,570,000	The broken and	£9,173,000	+21.2%	REV	£206,641,000	£396,106,000	£191,929,000	-7.1%	REV	£8,693,000			-3.6%	
Final_Jun 29: Final_Jun 20: Comparison Final_Dec. 29: Final_Dec. 20: Comparison Final_Dec. 20: Compariso					-48.6%	EPS		-5.090	-2.730					5250		
Final_Jun 29: Final_Jun 20: Comparison Final_Dec. 29: Final_Dec. 20: Comparison Final_Dec. 20: Compariso			Rage Softw		WILL BUILDES			Staffwar	e plc			ALL STREET	Triad Grou	p plc	TT - AND -	
PEP1 1380 Final Dec 30 StatPro Group pic Comparison Final Dec 30	DEVI					0.51			Final - Dec 00	Comparison	DEV	Interim - Sep 99	Final - Mar 00	Interim - Sep 00	Comparison	
PEP1 1380 Final Dec 30 StatPro Group pic Comparison Final Dec 30						PBT		PT 11.11		+261.7%	PBT	£903.000			+0.1%	
Final - Sep 39 Final - Dec 39 Final - Dec 30 Enter 10: 59: 59 Uttraits Group pic Uttraits Group pic Rev Final - Dec 30 Final	EPS			-0.940					10.400	+147.6%	EPS	3.360	5.060	2430	-27.7%	
PFST £1.335000 £1.082.000 £1.832.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 <td>No.</td> <td>and the second second</td> <td>RDL Grou</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>and the second second second</td> <td>StatPro Gr</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ultima Netw</td> <td>orks plc</td> <td>land and</td>	No.	and the second second	RDL Grou			1	and the second second second	StatPro Gr					Ultima Netw	orks plc	land and	
PFST £1.335000 £1.082.000 £1.832.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 £4.783.000 <td>REV</td> <td>Final - Sep 99</td> <td></td> <td>Final - Sep 00</td> <td>Companson</td> <td>REV</td> <td>Final - Dec 99 f3 144 000</td> <td></td> <td>Final - Dec 00 f3 172 000</td> <td>Comparison +0.9%</td> <td>REV</td> <td>f6476000</td> <td>Final - Dec 99</td> <td></td> <td></td>	REV	Final - Sep 99		Final - Sep 00	Companson	REV	Final - Dec 99 f3 144 000		Final - Dec 00 f3 172 000	Comparison +0.9%	REV	f6476000	Final - Dec 99			
IPESI BC6al 6.700 16892 P1 1.400 1.400 1.400 1.638.00 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01 0.246.01					-18.2%	PBT	£183,000		-£4,879,000		PBT	-£610,000	£783,000	£496,000	Loss both	
Final-Sep 99 Final-Jul 99<	EPS		111- O	and the second se	-16.8%	EPS	-1.40p	-		Loss both	EPS	-0.36p			Loss both	
PREV #2315.000 #34620.000 #472492 REV #2315.000 #2998 REV #2315.000 #398.000 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #598.00 #			inition Syste				5 ml 1 100	Supersca		0		C	Ultrasis Gro		0.000	
PFS -12.060,000 -54.749,000 Loss both [PST] -52.2500 -65.817,000 Loss both [PST] -52.306,00 -54.94,000 Loss both [PST] -52.306,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 -27.950,00 <t< td=""><td>REV</td><td>£2 315 000</td><td></td><td>£8,620,000</td><td>+272 4%</td><td>REV</td><td>£3.071.000</td><td>the second second second</td><td>£2 153,000</td><td>-29.9%</td><td>REV</td><td>£2 520,000</td><td>adautio dina ing artero</td><td>£986,000</td><td>-60.9%</td></t<>	REV	£2 315 000		£8,620,000	+272 4%	REV	£3.071.000	the second second second	£2 153,000	-29.9%	REV	£2 520,000	adautio dina ing artero	£986,000	-60.9%	
Retail Decisions pic SurfControl pic Vega Group pic PEInterim_Jung9PEFnail_begs Final_May09 Final_May00 Final_May00 Final_May00 Final_Agroup Final_Agroup Final_Agroup Final_May00 <	PBT	·£1,609,000	and the second second	-£4,749,000	Loss both	PBT	·£2,765,000		-£6,817,000	Loss both	PBT	·£2,316,000	and the second	-£4,984,000	Loss both	
PFInterm-Jung9PFFinal-Dec 99 Interim-Jung9PFFinal-Dec 99 Final-May 99 Final-May 99 Final-May 99 Final-May 99 Final-May 90 Final-May 00 return-Oct 99 Final-May 00 return-Oct 99 <td>EPSI</td> <td>the second se</td> <td>Detail Deale</td> <td>H. WHI</td> <td>Loss both</td> <td>EPS</td> <td>-22.500</td> <td>CurtOsat</td> <td>-20.0001</td> <td>Loss both</td> <td>EPS</td> <td>-1.600</td> <td>Vana Crau</td> <td>2.4001</td> <td>Loss both</td>	EPSI	the second se	Detail Deale	H. WHI	Loss both	EPS	-22.500	CurtOsat	-20.0001	Loss both	EPS	-1.600	Vana Crau	2.4001	Loss both	
REV 15/87/5000 11/97/000 47/198/000 42/25% REV 44/0000 11/87/06/707 +11/75% REV 11/82/0000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/9000 11/82/90000 11/82/9000 11/82/90	PI				Comparison	-	Final May 00	Sunconti	OI DIC	Comparison						
PBT £1,166.000 £2,480.000 -£1,671.000 Profit to less Portifit to less -70.360 Loss both (PBT Loss both (PBT) £1,932.000 ±4,843.000 -£1,322.000 Profit to less Profit to less -70.360 Profit to less portifit to less -70.360 Loss both (PBT) £1,932.000 ±4,843.000 -£1,822.000 Profit to less profit to less -70.360 Profit to less portifit to less -70.360 Profit to less portifit to less -70.360 Profit to less portifit to less -70.360 Profit to less profit to less -70.360 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±4,843.000 ±2,690.000 ±2,690.000 ±2,690.000 ±2,690.000 ±2,690.000 </td <td>REV</td> <td>£5,875,000</td> <td>£11,937,000</td> <td> £7,198,000 </td> <td>+22.5%</td> <td>REV</td> <td>£4,003,000</td> <td></td> <td>£8,706,707</td> <td>+117.5%</td> <td>REV</td> <td>£18,531,000</td> <td>£40,201,000</td> <td>£18,249,000</td> <td>-1.5%</td>	REV	£5,875,000	£11,937,000	 £7,198,000 	+22.5%	REV	£4,003,000		£8,706,707	+117.5%	REV	£18,531,000	£40,201,000	£18,249,000	-1.5%	
RexOnline plc Synigence Plc VI Group plc Interm-Oct 99 Final-Apr 00 Interm-Jun 00 Comparison Final-Dec 99 Interm-Jun 00 Comparison Comparison Final-Dec 99 Interm-Jun 99 Final-Dec 99 Interm-Jun 00 24300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 42300 423000 423000 42300	PBT	£1,166,000	£2,438,000	·£1,671,000	Profit to loss	PBT	-£1,314,000		·£14,871,341	Loss both	PBT	£1,932,000	£4,843,000	·£1.322.000	Profit to loss	
Interm Oct 199 Final Dec 99 Interm Jun 00 Comparison Interm Jun 99 Final Dec 99 Interm Jun 00 Comparison Interm Jun 99 Final Dec 99 Interm Jun 90 Final Dec 99 Final Dec 99 <t< td=""><td>EPSI</td><td>0.5901</td><td></td><td></td><td>Protit to loss</td><td>EPSI</td><td>-12.780</td><td>Synigono</td><td></td><td>Loss poth</td><td>EPS</td><td>7.0201</td><td>VI Group</td><td></td><td>P rolit to loss</td></t<>	EPSI	0.5901			Protit to loss	EPSI	-12.780	Synigono		Loss poth	EPS	7.0201	VI Group		P rolit to loss	
REV £217,000 £558,000 £293,000 ±203,228,REV £265,221 £1,089,700 n/a REV £22,01,000 £4,545,000 £269,000 ±22,201,000 £4,545,000 £269,000 ±22,201,000 £4,545,000 £269,000 ±22,201,000 £4,545,000 £269,000 ±438,000 £269,000 ±438,000 £269,000 ±438,000 £269,000 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±438,000 £22,950,00 ±138,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000 £2,690,000	T	Interim - Oct 991			Comparison	-	and the second states of	Final - Dec 99	Interim - Jun 00	Comparison	-	Interim - Jun 99	Final - Dec 99		Comparison	
EFFS	REV	£217,000	£658,000	£932,000	+203.2%			£626,921	£1,089,700	n/a		£2,201,000	£4,545,000	£2,699,000	+22.6%	
Rolfe & Nolan plc Synstar plc Vocalis Group plc interim Aug.99 Final-Sep.00 Comparison Final-Sep.00 Comparison Interim Sep.99 Final-Mar Ou Interim Sep.99 Final-Sep.00 Comparison Interim Sep.99 Final-Sep.00 Comparison Interim Sep.99 Final-Sep.00 Comparison Interim Sep.99 Final-Sep.99 Final-Sep.00 Comparison Interim Sep.99 Final-Sep.99 Final-Sep.99<	FPS										PBT		1240			
Interim Aug 99 Final Final Step 99 Final Final Step 99 Final Step 90 Step 90 <thstep 90<="" th=""> Step 90 <thstep< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1//d</td><td></td><td>0.3/01</td><td>and the second se</td><td>M. HOMMA</td><td>0.52 0 25</td></thstep<></thstep>										1//d		0.3/01	and the second se	M. HOMMA	0.52 0 25	
REV £10,700,000 £22,856,000 £12,700,000 +£8,780,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £20,850,000 £			Final - Feb 00	Interim - Aug 00	Comparison		Final - Sep 99		Final - Sep 00		-	Interim - Sep 99	Final - Mar 00	Interim - Sep 00	Comparison	
EFS 3300 9300 4100 4242%[PS] 3700 0.650 838%[PS] 4.840 .11570 .5500 Loss both Final-Dec 99 Final-Dec 00 Comparison Intermated Research pic Weath Management Software pic PBT 256511000 457,83300 44 697 FEV 1458,000 113700 11670 25000 12300 4359 FEV 438000 11670 1000 273,000 457,83300 44 697 FEV 1250 0.650 435397 FEV 438000 12500 0.900 12500 0.900 12500 0.900 12500 0.900 12500 0.900 12500 0.900 12500 0.900 12500 0.900 12500 0.900 12500 0.900 12500 0.900 12500 0.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900 1.900			£22,856,000	£12,700,000	+18.7%	REV	£213,048,000			+9.6%		£1,318,000	£2,694,000	£2,008,000	+52.4%	
Royalblue Group plc Wealth Management Software plc Final-Dac.99 Final-Dac.00 Comparison Interim-Nov.90 Comparison Interim-Jung 90 Final-Dac.99 Final-Dac.91 Fina	EPS	3 300	9.300		+24 201	EPS	3,178,000			-83.8%				-5.600		
Final-Dec 99 Final-Dec 00 Comparison of \$17,383,000 Interim-Nov 99 Final-Dec 99 <t< td=""><td>anges.</td><td>R.S. States</td><td>Royalblue G</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ns Integrated</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	anges.	R.S. States	Royalblue G					ns Integrated								
PBT £6511.000 £63918.000 +6392 PBT £420.000 £125.000 £113.000 +660 PE PE T .5228.000 -£125.000 £053.097 EPS 13.100 137.00 +665 EPS 0.150 1.250 0.680 +353.397 FPS .10560 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .0920 .11999	-	Final - Dec 99		Final - Dec 00	Comparison		Interim - Nov 99	Final - May 00	Interim - Nov - 00	Comparison		Interim · Jun 99	Final - Dec 99	Interim - Jun 00	Comparison	
EPS 13100 13700 44647 EPS 0.150 1250 0.680 435337 EPS .1.060 .0.900 .0.870 toss both Stage Group pic Systems Interinational Group pic XKO Group pic Final-Sep.00 Comparison Interin-Dec.09 Comparison KKO Group pic REV £307041,000 £4121,513.000 484 290 REV £38,8000 £17,952,851 £12,015,000 443,850,000 £20,628,000 £20,190,000 498,890 PBT £74,313,000 £4647,872,872 EPS 0,020 colspan="4">Comparison PBT £74,313,000 £46437,872,872 EPS 0,020 colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan= 42,920 PBT £74,313,000 £46437,872,872 EPS 0,020 colspan= 44,920 Colspan= 44,929 Colspan= 4,4299 <td c<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>+44.6%</td><td>PRT</td><td></td><td></td><td>£/86,000 £113,000</td><td>+19.5%</td><td>REV</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	<td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>+44.6%</td> <td>PRT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>£/86,000 £113,000</td> <td>+19.5%</td> <td>REV</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					+44.6%	PRT			£/86,000 £113,000	+19.5%	REV				
Sage Group plc XKO Group plc Final-Sep 99 Final-Sep 00 Comparison Interim - Dec 00 Comparison Interim - Sep 00 Comparison REV £307/041,000 £112,153,000 +34.29 REV £3,860,000 117,952,851 £121,010,000 #43.69 REV £13,485,000 £20,197,000 +49.69 PPT £74,313,000 £108,748,000 +46.39 PPT £33,000 £218,355 £11,000 +66.79 PPT ±550,000 ±28,200 +22,317,000 ±23,300 ±20,197,000 ±23,1000 Loss both EPS 0.350 £108,748,000 ±46.39 PPT £33,000 £218,355 £11,000 +66.79 PPT ±550,000 ±28,200 +20,110,000 Loss both EPS 0.350 BBS Group plc Systems Union plc Xpertise Group 10,000 Xpertise Group 10,000 Xp	EPS		and the second		+4.6%			1250		+353 3%	EPS					
Final-Sep 99 Final-Sep 00 Comparison Interim-Dec 99 Final-Marcol Interim-Sep 99 Final-Sep 99		and the second	Sage Grou										XKO Group	o plc		
REV £32(7041,000) £412,153,000 +43,424,145V 283,800,000 £12,016,000 +43,624,145V 281,3485,000 £20,197,000 449,892,145V PBT £74,313,000 100,748,000 +63,274,117 £33,000 £218,355 £11,000 +66,774,1PST :55,000 £22,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 £20,197,000 <	DEU	Final - Sep 99		Final - Sep 00		0.511	Interim - Dec 99			Comparison	DEL	Interim - Sep 99	Final - Mar 00	Interim - Sep 00	Comparison	
EPS 0.35a 0.07a 0.35a 0.02a 7.14% EPS 3.50a 2.820a 1.00 to isst both SBS Group plc Systems Union plc Vertise Group plc Systems Union plc Vertise Group plc Vertise Group plc Vertise Group plc Systems Union plc Vertise Group plc Vertise Group plc Vertise Group plc Systems Union plc Vertise Group Systems Union plc Vertise Group Systems Union plc Systems Union plc Vertise Group Systems Union plc	PBT		1		+34.2%					+43.6%	PBT	£13,485,000 -£551,000	129,628,000	120,197,000		
Interim Final Deg 99 Interim Final Deg 99 Interim Jun 90 Comparison Interim Jun 90 Final Deg 99 Interim Jun 90 Final Deg 99 Interim Jun 90 Comparison Interim Jun 90 Final Deg 99 Interim Jun 90 Final Deg 99 Interim Jun 90 Comparison Interim Jun 90 Comparison Interim Jun 90 Comparison Interim Jun 90 Comparison Max Jun 90 Comparison Interim Jun 90 Comparison Jun 90 Comparison Jun 90 Comparison Jun 90 Zon 90 Comparison Jun 90 Zon 90 Zon 90 Zon 90 Zon 90 Zon 90<			and the second	0.390				0.350	0.020	.714%	EPS	-3.500	-28.200	-10 100		
REV £28,300,000 £33,05,000 £2,900,000 -19,191 REV £20,300,000 ±33,072, REV ±2,048,000 ±3,707,000 ±2,91,000 ±2,91,000 ±2,91,000 ±2,91,000 ±2,91,000 ±2,91,000 ±2,91,000 ±2,91,000 ±2,920,000 ±4,258,000 ±6,161,000 ±1,121,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±2,192,000 ±1,212,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000 ±1,172,000		0.0001						Suctome 11	nion nlc				Xpertise Gro	up plc	and the second second	
PBT £1,400,000 £2,219,000 £124,000 -91,19[PBT -£448,000 ±1,953,000 ±96,416,000 +21421,4% PBT ±172,000 -£171,000 ±228,000 Profit to loss EPS 9,600 16,100 -0,900 -90,6% PPS -2,900 -165,200 +5596,6% PPS 0.450 -0.84p -0.76p Profit to loss Science S. Sustems place - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>EPS</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>all second second</td><td></td><td></td><td>Systems Of</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	EPS				all second second			Systems Of			-					
EPS 9600 16100 0900 9064EPS 2900 9800 4659664EPS 0450 0840 0760 Profittoloss	EPS	nterim - Feb.99	Final - Aug 99	Interim - Feb 00		REV		Final - Dec 99	Interim - Jun 00		REV	Interim Jun 99	Final - Dec 99	Interim - Jun 00	Comparison	
science systems pic	REV	nterim - Feb.99 £28,300,000 £1,400,000	Final - A ug 99 £53,305,000	Interim - Feb 00 £22,900,000 £124,000	-19.1% -91.1%	REV	£287,000	Final - Dec 99 £600,000	Interim - J un 00 £15,586,000	+5330.7% +21421.4%		£2,048,000 £172,000	Final - Dec 99 £3,707,000	Interim - Jun 00 £2,919,000	+42.5% Profit to loss	
	REV	nterim - Feb 99 £28,300,000 £1,400,000 9,600	Final - A ug 99 £53,305,000 £2,219,000 16,10p	Interim - Feb 00 £22,900,000 £124,000 0,900	-19.1% -91.1%	REV PBT EPS	£287,000	Final - Dec 99 £600,000	Interim - J un 00 £15,586,000	+5330.7% +21421.4%		£2,048,000 £172,000	Final - Dec 99 £3,707,000	Interim - Jun 00 £2,919,000	+42.5% Profit to loss	

A quality act! Logica's interims show an

impressive 25% increase in revenue over last year, reaching £505m for the six months to Dec. 31st 2000. PBT was up an even more stunning 65% at £57.9m, giving a basic EPS up 51% to 11.0p. In all, 22% of growth was organic, with acquisitions (which is primarily PDV in Germany bought in to revenue and £1.9m to operating profit. Chief Executive Martin Read said 'This has been an excellent period of development for the business. Strong organic revenue growth and significant improvements in both margin and EPS reflect the continuing company's strategy".

revenues up 56%. The operation is getting business on the back of the successful delivery of the NETA (New Electricity Trading Arrangements) system last year. But telecoms also continues to forge ahead - 46% growth in revenue - thanks to the company's continued, well, dominance is not too strong a word for it, in some sectors of the messaging market (93% growth in messaging solutions). Even financial services, the part that suffered most from the Y2K impact last year, has shown a return to growth of 9%. But to prove our point last year about never Nov. 00) contributing a further £12.7m firing on all cylinders at once, public sector revenue was down 13%, with some blame put on defence contracts in the UK.

The fastest growing market (by client location) was again Asia Pacific/Middle East (+46%) and even US sales grew by 11%, ahead of continental Europe, successful implementation of the at 8%. The picture is a little different by operating unit, though, with revenue Comment - The star turn was the falling in both AP/ME and in the US. energy and utilities business, with But there again, the entire mobile

network business is lumped together and allocated to Ireland (this is 24% of the business, but with an operating margin of 19.5%!)

It's the margins that really impress. Read promised to reach 10% when he joined Logica in 1993 and broke through that barrier last year. But he hasn't stopped there - operating margin is now 11.8% overall, compared with 10.2%, and looks like its going to be even higher if PDV is anything to go by (almost 15% margin for the contribution to date, compared with Logica's current European margin of just 6.2%). Revenue up, profit up (and not many can say that) and margin up; Logica once again shows what a quality act it

However, Logica's high reliance on the telco sector might prove a liability if (as we suspect) overspending on 3G causes some major financial difficulties for many of the players. That's why the City took the axe to Logica's share price which fell 21% to 1380p this month.

March 2001

Transformation appears to be complete

Microgen has announced results for the year to 31st Dec. 00: total revenue is down 19% to £25.3m, but from continuing ops is up 15%, PBT of £2.4m turned into a LBT of £3.1m, and EPS of 3.6p in 1999, turned into a Loss Per Share of 5.2p. Commenting on the results, Martyn Ratcliffe, Executive Chairman said: *"Microgen has completed a successful transition into an*

information management services group and has established a market-leading position in B2B ebilling...while the viability and prospects of some ebusiness models have come under scrutiny during the past year, the transition from paper to electronic billing in a B2B environment is a natural evolution."

Comment: Microgen's transformation from legacy COM services into ebusiness services appears to be complete. Indeed the revenues from the ebusinesses (either acquired or developed over the past two years) now generate c60% of the group's revenue. Microgen now consists of two



operating divisions: Billing and Database Management and Microgen-Kaisha. The former made revenues from continuing operations of £13.7m compared to £14.9m in 1999. The decrease occurred in the legacy print business – this trend is set to continue but the capability will continue to be an important part of the division's overall offering. The consultancy division, Microgen-Kaisha, which focuses on CRM, KM and e-business systems implementation, KM, and the relationship between billing and CRM. We have been impressed with Martyn Ratcliffe's strategy for Microgen and the execution of it, since his appointment as Chairman in 1998, and as we would have expected, even during this period of obvious change, Microgen has retained a positive operating cash flow. We look forward to a return to profitability in the near future. Microgen's share price is down 33% this month to 173p.

fared particularly well with reported revenue of £8m

compared to £4.7m in 1999, and OP (before Group overheads and goodwill amortisation) of £2.2m - also up

on 1999. Its "emphasis on the integration of legacy and

new application data" is likely to have given it significant

competitive advantage over some of the newer entrants in

First full-year profit in line with expectations

Cambridge-based, NASDAQ and EASDAQ-listed and now a FTSE 100 company, **Autonomy** has

announced results (unfortunately in \$US) for the year to 31st Dec. 00. Turnover has tripled to \$65m, as has gross profit to \$61.5m, net income (excluding expenses related to the listing on LSE in Nov. 00) has turned from a loss of \$5.3m into a \$13.3m profit. Last year's Loss Per Share of \$0.04 has improved into a diluted EPS of \$0.10.

Autonomy's gross margin had increased from 87% to 94% in the last year, and the recent quarter has seen a flurry of sales to Philips, Royal & SunAlliance, Qwest Communications, Nestle UK, General Electric and others. Geographic expansion has also continued – helped by the purchase of the remaining 67% of Autonomy Nordic AS, one of the company's VARs – with 46% of revenue from the US.

Commenting on the results, CEO Mike Lynch said: "Perhaps most importantly, our fourth quarter and yearend results highlight our profitable and scalable business model. Marked by consistently high gross margins and increasing profitability.... Finally, our balance sheet is strong, with over \$137 million in cash at year-end, which leaves us well-placed to expand upon our leadership position and to penetrate new and existing markets worldwide." **Comment** - This was the company's first full year profit and came in in line with expectations. Among the good news was the fact that Autonomy has signed up more OEM and reseller partners during the year (including IBM Global Services, SAIC and Booz Allen & Hamilton) and sees this channel providing a recurring high-margin revenue stream. This is the sort of business we like to see - the company made \$448,000 in Q4 alone from OEM royalties.

But despite all the good news the share price has continued on its slide since the placing price in Nov. 00 of £32.76p, and share price finished the month down 35% at £14.00p. Mike Lynch has expressed frustration at rumours that have impacted share prices - about executives leaving the company to join Microsoft, about the stature of some of its OEM licensees, increased competition for its offerings etc. - and had sought redress through his lawyers for some comments emanating from brokers. This probably hasn't helped relations with the City where these precious souls hate criticism of any kind!

But bluntly, the nervous market for Autonomy shares is not really surprising given the state of the IT sector as a whole, the complexity of the company's technology and the fact that Autonomy currently has a P/E of 175. We would be amongst the frontrunners in terms of Autonomy supporters, but justifying that kind of valuation is much more difficult.

= System House =

Autonomy

Another sharp fall in SCS share prices

The "false dawn" in Jan. was exactly that (see below). Our unweighted SCS index fell 12% - much less than the 20% fall in the FTSE IT SCS Index and 18% fall in techMARK as the larger SCS companies were hit the hardest. Indeed current and ex-FTSE100 components like Autonomy (down 35%), Baltimore (down 39%), CMG (down 30%) and Logica (down 28%) were amongst the worst performers.

Having said that Sema was the best performer - up 86% as a result of the Schlumberger takeover (p13). It was also good to see recent IPO Charteris (Chaired by David Mann and a bunch of ex-Logica people) gaining 28% this month and now at a 80% premium to its 90p IPO price in Nov 00. For keen followers of valuation trends - that's an 8.4xPSR.

It shows that even in econsultancies, "old" IT management consultancy skills are increasingly highly valued. Trace p10 (up 26%) and Torex p4 (up 22%) both benefited from positive trading statements.

	28-Feb-01	SCSI Ind	SCSI Index 7							
		FTSE IT (S	TSE IT (SCS) Index							
		techMARK	100						2303.40	
		FTSE 100							5917.90	
•		FTSE AIM							1335.30	
	SCSI Index =1000 on 15th April 1989	FTSE Small	Сар						3190.88	
	Changes in Indices	SCSI	FTSE	techMARK	FTSE	if i	FTSE	T	FTSE	
,		Index	100					x	Small Cap	
					Para de					
	Month (01/02/01 to 28/02/01)	-12.39%	-6.03%	-17.97%	-19	.94%	-7.88	%	-4.09%	
	From 15th Apr 89	+664.98%	+188.17%		1145				and an and the	
	From 1st Jan 90	+731.41%	+150.55%			1.				
	From 1st Jan 91	+980.68%	+173.93%							
t	From 1st Jan 92	+632.14%	+137.37%						10.23	
F	From 1st Jan 93	+380.04%	+107.90%						+130.00%	
t	From 1stJan 94	+358.19%	+73.12%		1977	1,24			+70.76%	
)	From 1st Jan 95	+410.27%	+93.05%						+82.71%	
	From 1st Jan 96	+238.71%	+60.41%	+191.85%			+40.05	%	+64.35%	
	From 1st Jan 97	+185.71%	+43.69%	+151.83%			+36.80	%	+46.16%	
	From 1st Jan 98	+152.05%	+15.24%	+141.44%	+77	.64%	+34.61	%	+37.94%	
C	From 1st Jan 99	+94.09%	+0.60%	+58.20%	+22	.85%	+66.58	%	+54.08%	
•	From 1st Jan 00	-33.31%	-14.61%			.22%	-30.91		+3.00%	
f	From 1st Jan 01	-8.63%	-4.90%	-10.22%	-8	.85%	-7.13	%	+0.24%	
			and the second second							
	End Feb 01	Move since	Move since	e Move s	ince	Mov	e since	M	ove in Feb	
t	and the second sec	1st Jan 98	1st Jan 99	1st Jar	00 ח	1st	Jan 01		01	
1	System Houses	151.1%	62.5%	-36.7	%	-14.8%		-22.2%		
)	IT Staff Agencies	-50.7%	-41.0%	-48.6	%	-18.2%			-14.9%	
1	Resellers	49.3%	58.8% -23.5%		%	1.2%		-15.9%		

187.7%

392.5%

105.0%

IT staffing operation SBS got the Holway SCS Index wooden spoon this month (down 43% as a result of its profits warning) - closely followed by Orchestream for similar reasons.

236.6%

166.2%

All sectors were badly hit with the bigger system houses faring the worst.

Software Products

Holway Internet Index

"Start Bottom Fishing at 2000"

At the risk of annoying more readers, we would point out one of the many outrageous statements we made at the end of Dec. 00 and repeated at the Regent Conference on 23rd Jan. 01. We believed that - even though the NASDAQ

Bottom fishing? ovum

> "Start bottom fishing when NASDAQ at around 2000"

> > Holway 1st Jan 01 - probably already out of date!

was heading towards 2800 - that this was all a false dawn and that the bottom would not be reached until the Index had slumped to 2000. Oh, by the way for NASDAQ read techMARK 100, are they are both pretty similar in number and in pattern.

This month, NASDAQ slumped 24% to 2151 and the techMARK slumped by another 18% to 2303. (That means that Techmark is back to its close on its first trading day in Nov 99). We were alone back in Dec. 00. But not anymore! The Mail on Sunday (25th Feb. 01) reported many analysts now with the "gloom bug" like Terry Smith (CEO of Collins Stewart) saying "we are in for a climatic sell off...NASDAQ the real world barometer(?) will fall as low as 2000 - a further 10% before bouncing".

-30.8%

-40.1%

-29.6%

-0.5%

-11.9%

-8.7%

-14.4%

-12.9%

-12.4%

So given that everyone now agrees with us (which always makes us feel uneasy!) let us add some more flesh;

- we still believe that, even though its only got another 7% to go, 2000 is "the bottom" ... well, give or take a further 10%!

- once the "bottom" is reached do not expect a sudden bounce. Earnings expectations for 2001 as a whole only justify 2000. If we get better news/expectations for 2002/ 2003 then we might see an increase.

- that increase will occur in the latter part of 2001.

- the increase will be modest 10% to 20% and in line with expectations for earnings growth. In other words we are most likely to see NASDAQ/techMARK bumping around 2000 for most of 2001 before finishing at 2200-2400.

- as always there will be many variations. The telco sector will be the worst hit. (We intend to develop this scene in next month's System House). We wouldn't go near any telco with a 3G created debt mountain. We believe that many of the telco related players (hardware, software, IT services) will be hard hit along side their customers. See Logica (p6)

- although we have every admiration for the likes of Autonomy, Baltimore etc, even at their current lower levels, their current share prices are still difficult to justify.

- the winners? As we have said countless times before, go for Boring companies. We have already stated our belief that EDS will be a solid (NOT exciting!) performer. We would add Cap Gemini E&Y and others. But that goes for System House Boring Award winners like Sage and Capita too.

System House _____

	Holway/System House S			PSR	SCSI	Share price	Share price	Capitalisation	Capitalisation	
	SCS Cat	Price 28-Feb-01	Capitalisation 28-Feb-01	Historic P/E	Ratio Cap./Rev.	Index 28-Feb-01	move since 31-Jan-01	% move in 2001	move since 31-Jan-01	move (Em in 2001
tinic	SP	£0.21	£25.8m	Loss	12.82	259	-22.22%	-45.45%	-£7.40m	-£21.
A Systems T Group	SP CS	£1.64 £11.73	£19.0m £238.0m	Loss 99.3	10.67 10.97	1363 7817	-18.86% -1.47%	17.63%	-£27.52m -£3.63m	-£13. -£2.
ohameric	SP SP	£2.72 £2.56	£277.0m £100.3m	138.8	5.09 100.32	1245 1280	-17.98% 4.07%	-1.09% 7.79%	-£60.69m -£230.63m	-£3. £7.
arian te Group	CS	£1.82	£492.1m	673.7 39.2	3.10	1064	-11.00%	8.01%	-£60.83m	£42.
onomy	SP SP	£14.00 £5.10	£1,762.4m £261.8m	175.0 65.3	35.87 10.32	427 2914	-34.79% -24.72%	-27.39% -32.67%	-£940.40m -£76.24m	-£665. -£116.
an Group	R	£1.44	£154.7m	18.0	0.38	626	-22.16%	-20.22%	-£43.95m	-£39.
timore Technologies	SP SP	£2.53 £0.70	£1,281.9m £3.1m	Loss	17.27 0.96	25949 700	-38.89% -2.10%	-26.67% -31.71%	-£816.76m -£0.07m	-£467. -£1.
nd International	SP	£0.52	£7.4m	Loss	0.87	792	-11.97%	-11.97%	-£1.00m	-£1.
ght Station liness Systems	CS CS	£0.31 £0.67	£54.1m £53.9m	Loss 108.1	0.94 1.66	284 559	-36.22% -17.39%	32.98% -16.88%	-£32.61m -£11.30m	£13 -£10
dcentre Group	SP	£5.14	£85.8m	23.6	3.59	2568	-4.47%	-6.72%	-£3.97m	-£6
pita Group dar Group	CS SP	£4.81 £2.90	£3,169.8m £222.7m	128.3 49.5	7.91 6.13	129889 2762	-2.14% -15.33%	-3.90% -6.45%	-£25.13m -£40.37m	-£85 -£15
arteris	CS SP CS	£1.62 £0.35	£56.1m	6.3 30.7	8.36	1794 282	27.67% 12.90%	27.67%	£12.15m £1.01m	£12
ical Computing G	CS	£0.35 £7.54	£8.8m £4,622.3m	52.0	2.83 5.70	20800	-30.25%	32.08% -15.75%	-£2,004.83m	£2 -£864
mino	CS	£4.64	£63.0m	25.4	3.08	3565	-9.55%	-9.56%	-£6.70m	-£6
npass Software npel Group	SP R R	£1.80 £1.08	£19.3m £33.5m	60.2 12.7	13.12 0.12	1200 860	-0.83% -12.24%	-2.70% 31.90%	-£0.17m -£4.65m	-£0 £8
nputacenter		£3.55 £0.59	£648.9m	16.2	0.37	530 983	-8.39% -40.10%	5.97%	-£59.42m -£9.56m	£36
S Group cam	CS SP	£0.59 £1.88	£14.3m £11.2m	3.7	0.09	721	-40.10%	-37.89%	-£9.56m	-£8 -£1
gonal	CS	£3.13	£272.2m	94.8	3.29	4543	-2.34%	58.23%	-£3.32m	£106
S Data & Research syscreen	SP SP	£0.15 £0.44	£5.2m £19.2m	21.2 Loss	0.63 18.20	136 256	11.11%	17.65% -26.89%	£0.52m -£2.91m	£0 -£7
Soft	CS	£9.25	£108.2m	Loss	1.67	512	13.85%	51.64%	£13.17m	£36
os ctronic Data Proc	SP SP	£2.28 £0.54	£234.4m £14.1m	10.0 32.7	1.15	11395 1653	-15.56% -16.92%	6.05% -21.74%	-£43.20m -£2.90m	£13 -£3
c an	A	£3.64	£88.7m	77.7	20.17	3462	-7.62%	4.91%	-£7.33m	£4
olink Aroup	CS CS	£0.54 £3.79	£5.6m £1,208.5m	24.7 53.2	0.74	540 9718	-6.90% -14.35%	-23.94% 40.89%	-£0.53m -£201.79m	-£1 £350
ancial Objects	SP	£0.98	£37.2m £24.0m	26.7	1.67	425	-20.65%	-3.45%	-£9.69m	-£1
merics Group cus	SP SP	£8.25 £1.15	£28.9m	27.5 Loss	2.04 40.09	6346 590	14.19% -33.53%	22.22% -43.35%	£2.95m -£14.50m	£4 -£22
eserve	CS	£1.08	£1,098.4m	Loss	56.16	717	-24.03%	9.69%	-£347.56m	£106
sham Computing	CS CS	£0.24 £8.20	£10.8m £572.4m	Loss 75.2	0.46	253 3216	-11.32% -18.41%	-5.05%	-£1.31m -£129.15m	-£0 -£94
vey Nash Group	A	£6.25	£182.5m	19.6	1.21	3571	-20.38%	-27.95%	-£46.68m	-£70
hams Systems Servs Solutions	A CS	£0.15 £1.14	£2.8m £28.5m	Loss 29.7	0.11 2.41	403 4248		-35 56% -40.00%	-£0.49m £1.28m	-£1 -£19
Computer	CS	£1.88	£36.4m	12.2	0.73	20211	-13.79%	-13.79%	-£5.76m	-£5
Group	SP SP	£0.75 £0.40	£42.4m £17.0m	8.3 Loss	3.11 2.35	833 426	8.70%	-56.14% -3.61%	£3.44m	-£54 -£0
Group ovation Goup	SP	£8.95	£1,153.0m	372.9	120.56	3908	9.82%	11.88%	£103.12m	£122
FT Group	SP	£2.30	£257.8m	372.9 237.1	14.51 1.14	2091	3.84%	12.20%	£9.51m -£18.64m	£27
ET	CS SP	£2.23 £1.59	£156.4m £7.4m	Loss	1.77	636 1057	-10.64% 8.19%	69.20% 24.31%	£0.57m	£64 £1
amazoo Computer	CS	£0.15	£6.4m	Loss	0.10	429	0.00%	-9.09%	£0.00m	-£0
vill Systems /stone	SP SP	£2.62 £0.23	£199.6m £0.0m	Loss	2.65	5178 256	-33.67% -26.98%	-18.13% -33.81%	-£101.38m -£13.33m	-£44 -£14
wiedge Management	SP	£0.59	£61.4m	Loss	29.36	450	-24 52%	-27 55%	-£19.87m	-£23
owledge Support gice	SP CS	£1.98 £13.80	£145.8m £6,098.9m	Loss 78.9	79.30 7.20	898 18899	-24.04% -27.67%	-46.48% -21.14%	-£46.10m £5,255.62m	-£126 -£1,636
ndon Bridge Software	SP	£3.30	£560.0m	60.4	9.88	8250	-24.57%	0.00%	-£182.49m	-£0
ien 1x Holdings	A SP	£0.72 £0.91	£14.0m £155.3m	Loss 20.8	0.13 0.62	715 2275	-8.33% -15.74%	-6.54% 2.82%	-£1.28m -£13.38m	-£1 £17
cro 4	SP	£8.35	£173.7m	36.2	4.49	3357	-7.22%	-7.22%	-£13.54m	-£13
npowerSoftWare RANT	SP SP	£0.37 £0.80	£5.1m £108.0m	Loss Loss	3.15 0.48	381	-1.33% -21.18%	39.62% -15.79%	-£0.07m -£29.03m	£1 -£33
crogen Holdings	CS	£1.73	£87.8m	Loss	3.46 4.88	737 7471	-33.01% -6.90%	-43.90%	-£43.24m	-£68
T Computing	SP CS	£6.01 £4.53	£3,458.9m £54.8m	40.5	1.45	2693	-17.35%	-9.02%	-£255.76m -£11.44m	-£342 -£9
ndas	CS SP	£0.23	£4.5m	Loss	3.31	300	-10.00%	-43.75%	-£0.50m	-£3
rse B International	RA	£3.93 £1.07	£495.7m £21.7m	21.8	0.98	1570 561	-21.89% 2.90%	3.97% -7.39%	-£138.97m £0.60m	£18 -£1
tBenefit	CS	£0.80	£12.7m	Loss	1.69	398 253	5.30%	-37.65%	£0.66m	-£7
tstore ttec	CS CS	£0.38 £0.32	£33.6m £34.4m	Loss	29.17 7.17	133	-14.61% -15.79%	-53.37% 8.47%	-£5.75m -£6.37m	-£38 £2
rthgate Information Solutions	CS	£0.72	£203.6m	Loss	1.23	277 15000	-0.69%	4.73%	-£1.39m	£11
B Retail Systems bital Software	CS SP	£1.73 £0.65	£546.3m £29.1m	480.6 Loss	25.67 153.07	15000	-2.82% -34.01%	2.99%	-£15.80m -£15.11m	£152 -£16
hestream	SP	£1.61	£196.0m	Loss	71.37	868	-43 19%	-41.64%	-£148.92m	-£139
ity systems	A SP	£1.48 £0.67	£226.2m £84.5m	22.8 Loss	0.72 138.97	24583 621	1.03% -31.79% -13.58%	26.07%	£2.23m -£39.44m	£46 -£46
nit	SP. SP	£0.70 £2.70	£57.3m £43.2m	25.3 33.2	4.30 2.58	2917 1800	-13.58%	-32.69%	-£8.97m	-£46 -£27
icymaster D	A	£8.98	£225.3m	15.8	3.55	4080	-12.90% -11.36%	-18.18% -6.02%	-£5.49m -£28.83m	-£8 -£14
P	SP	£0.30	£27.0m	5.3	0.53	394	-18.92%	-0.83%	-£6.32m	-£0
antica It International	A SP	£0.51 £0.41	£20.0m £26.9m	8.2 215.8	1.10 2.93	411 651	-17.07% -11.83%	-7.27% -34.92%	-£4.18m -£3.60m	-£1 -£15
ge Software	SP	£0.09	£28.1m	Loss	6.67	327	-12.82%	-20.93%	-£4.23m	-£6 -£10
L cognition Systems	SP	£1.05 £2.19	£16.1m £199.1m	15.7 Loss	0.99 23.10	1167 3129	-34 78% -30 59%	-40.00% -21.36%	-£8.50m -£87.67m	-£10 -£54
tail Decisions	SP	£0.86	£120.6m	77.5	10.10	1162	-30.92%	-30.36%	-£50.00m	-£48
xOnline Group	A SP	£0.77 £5.60	£5.1m £525.5m	Loss 64.1	6.87 2.53	911 16000	-15.00% -7.05%	-20.73%	-£0.89m -£37.22m	-£1 -£6 -£14
lfe & Nolan	SP	£5.60 £2.90	£40.9m	19.1	1.79	3452	-14.71%	-25 83%	-£7.10m	-£14
yalblue Group ge Group	SP SP	£10.13 £2.91	£304.9m £3,687.0m	108.9 49.4	5.31 8.95	5956 111923	-23.30%	-3.57% -5.13%	-£92 54m -£1,136,22m	-£11
S Group	A	£0.51	£4.6m	10.4	0.09	505	-23.57% -43.26%	-49.50%	-£1,136.22m -£3.50m	-£4
ence Systems L	CS	£5.73 £3.36	£144.2m £132.9m	51.4 69.5	4.66 4.47	4438 2237	-5.37% -0.59%	15 66% -9.69%	-£8.22m -£0.73m	-£4 £19 -£14 £1,551
ma	CS CS SP CS CS SP	£5.48	£3,360.2m	49.6	2.38	6887	45.71%	85.75%	£1,054.02m	£1.551
rvicePower erwood International	SP	£0.57	£28.9m £162.1m	Loss 15.9	7.67	565 12660	-6.61% 14.46%	-18.71% 19.87%	-£2.04m £20.45m	-£6 £26
llsgroup	CS	£1.37	£120.5m	Loss	0.86 31.53	612	-3.53%	-0.73% -25.00%	-£4.42m	-£0
pheon	SP	£1.37 £1.20 £0.59	£47.6m £88.6m	Loss Loss	31.53 0.22	1727 656	-13.67% -29.76%	-25.00%	-£7.61m -£37.55m	-£15 -£44 -£7
ftwore	ASP	£13.50	£189.9m	129.8	5.02	6000	-13.60%	-3.57%	-£30 01m	-£7
tPro perscape VR	SP SP	£0.66 £1.11	£19.4m £40.4m	Loss	611 18.77	825 561	-0.75% -42.34%	-5 04% -55.42%	-£0 12m -£29.64m	-£1 -£50
(Was JSB)	SP	£9.98 £0.32	£300.7m	Loss	34 54	4988	-19.72% 0.00%	-14.19%	-£68.93m	-£45
nigence hstar	C5 CS	£0.32 £0.46	£13.4m £75.2m	Loss 14.7	21.43 0.32	606 280	-13 55%	-39.42% -2.63%	-£0.01m -£11.70m	-£8 -£2
stems Integrated	CS SP	£0.32	£4.3m	17.2	2.79	278	-13.51%	3.23%	-£0.67m	£0.
stems International stems Union (was Freecom)	CS CS SP	£0.35 £0.85	£11.9m £87.7m	100.0 Loss	0.67	609 654	0.00%	-6.67% 21.43%	£0.01m -£21.17m	-£0 £15
ework	SP	£1 66	£299.7m	159.6	17.26	D	-17 62%	-12 40%	-£64 07ml	-£42
ime.com rence Chapman	CS	£0.27 £1.03	£21.1m £69.6m	Loss 21.5	1 51	171 759	-24.29%	-15 87% -35.94%	-£6 80m -£35.60m	-£4 -£39
rex Group	CS CS CS CS SP	£7 43	£316.2m	82.6	2.27 3.58	14417	-33.87% 22.22%	26.92%	£57.43m	£67
tal Systems	CS	£0.85	£8.8m	Loss	4.49	1594 1262	-8.65% 1.15%	-5.06% 12.77%	-£0.84m	-£0
uchstone ace Computers	CS	£1.33 £0.87	£13.0m £12.7m	13.4 7.2	0.74	692	26.28%	6.79%	£2 61m	ET EO
ad Group	CS	£2.15	£54.8m	35.9	1.13	1593	-4.44%	0.00%	-£2.58m	£0
ima rasis	R CSS CSP SP SP	£0.04 £0.13	£7.2m £29.9m	Loss	0.58 30.28	91 265	-21.05% -18.75%	-21.05%	-£1.92m -£6.95m	-£1 £4
ga Group	CS	£2.10 £0.31	£38.6m	22.1	0.96	1721	-39.57%	15.56% -45.81%	-£25 26m	-£32
group calis	SP	£0.31 £0.66	£6.2m £30.2m	47.0 Loss	1.37 11.22	610 689	0.00%	-8.96% -52.71%	£0.00m -£2.83m	-£0 -£33
	SP	£0.79	£33.0m	Loss	2.57	604	-24.52%	-28.64%	-£10.73m	-£13
ealth Management	CS	£2.26	£60.7m		2.05	1507	-12.23%	-20.00%	-£8.48m	-£15.

Note: Main System House SCS Index set at 1000 on 15th April 1989. Any new entrants to the Stock Exchange are allocated an index of 1000 based on the issue price. The CSI Index is not weighted; a change in the share price of the largest company has the same effect as a similar change for the smallest company. Category Codes: CS= Computer Services SP = Software Product R = Reseller A = IT Agency O = Other

7

		Mergers &	& Acquisitio	ms	
	Reading Print Print	A STATE AND	all the light	PAR E	
Buyer	Seller	Seller Description	Acquiring	Price	Comment
Affinity Internet	Australia.com Pty	"Private internet and telecommunications company"	53.38% of company	£880K	Increasing its shareholding from 8.62% to 52%. Affini will issue 140,240 shares at 10p each, valued in the transaction at £880K to outgoing shareholders
Cap Gemini Ernst & Young	United Utilities	Northwest Water/Norweb etc	12.5% stake in Vertex		Vertex is the outsourcing/BPO part of United Utilities.CGEY took over Vertex's BPO operations in return for the 12.5% stake in Vertex. CGEY takes ove the IT operations and 320 staff for 5 years and has the option to increase its stake to 15%
emondo.com	BasicNet	The second second	IT division - basicfactory	£350K	7m new ordinary shares of 5p each. Basicfactory has been newly incorporated for the purpose of the transaction. Basicnet has also agreed to emply emondo as a consultant for a 3-year period
GlobalNetFinancial.com	AlSoftw@re		Further 20% of Italia-iNnest	Shares	GlobalNetFinancial.com has issued 326,000 shares to AIS. It now owns 60% of Italia-iNvest.
Guardian IT	Allegro Group Nordic AS	a "leading data storage solutions expert"	100% of company	£6.5m	The initial purchase price will be £3.8m - £1.9m in cas and the issue of 195,680 Guardian IT ordinary shares. A maximum £1.1m of deferred consideration is payabl within 3 months and the rest, upon achievement by Allegro of targeted PBT (to 31st Dec. 01)
Magic Moments	One-to-One		51% interest	DM5.4m	Initial cash consideration of DM5.4m and a placing of
Internet MBO	Advertising and Lynx		Spanish & UK auto. systems businesses	£6.4m	62.5 new ordinary shares at 4p per share with Atlantic Disposal of businesses to their respective managements
Merant	NetObjects	An IBM affiliate	Enterprise Division	\$18m	IBM will be making a " <i>limited equity investment in</i> Merant on the open market to demonstrate its commitment to Merant".
Netb2b2	ITM Graphics	"a magazine and newspaper production company"	100% of company	£1.8m	£1.275m and a deferred consideration of £0.525m. The acquisition follows the announcement in Mar. 00 of a new business strategy based on the provision of internet based B2B services
Orchestream	CrossKeys Systems Corporation	Canadian company providing s/w for ' <i>performance management</i> and reporting'	100% of company	£24.7m	Cash and shares
Sage	TAS Software Group	Develops, markets and supports the TAS Books suite of s/w products	100% of company	£9.7m	Cash and loan notes
Sherwood	Old Mutual	Global Edge is a S-Afr IT Solutions provider to financial services industry	32% of Global Edge Technologies	£1.3m	"the investment will enable it to capitalise on its strong client presence in South Africaand further develops the Group's international reach"
Teamtalk.com	MMC Sport- Redaktion	German independent sports radio production business	100% of company	DM7m	The consideration comprises DM5m payable on completion of the deal and a further DM2m payable by Mar. 03 on meeting certain EBIT targets
Telework	Open Business Solutions	London-based supplier of staff scheduling software	Solus Business Unit	£6.75m	Cash. Acquisition is expected to "enhance significantly the Workplace software product offering"
The Innovation Group	Huon Holdings	"a global provider of business solutions focused on the Property and Casualty insurance and financial services industries"	Reverse takeover		Conditional on several factors, including the "satsifactory completion of a detailed due diligence exercise" and the approval of shareholders.
The Innovation Group	Holber Estimating Systems	solutions provider - principal product is "Inter-Est" - vehicle accident damage estimating, authorisation and invoicing	100% of company		Intial consideration of £2.87m (£1.6m in cash and £1.27m in Ioan notes) and a deferred consideration of up to £12.42m in shares dependent upon the total sales of Inter-Est in the UK insurance sector for a period of 2 years following acquisition
Virtual Internet	Webcontrol	German web hosting company	100% of company	The large	To be satisfied through the payment of £644.3K in cas and the balance in ordinary shares. The acquisition is intended to extend Virtual Internet's pan-European network to the key European markets of UK, France, Germany and Italy.

A chequered financial record at Trace Trace Computers, a financial software solutions provider, has announced its interim results for

the six months to 30th Nov. 00. Revenue has fallen 4% to £8.4m, compared to the six months to 30th Nov. 99. However PBT grew 11% to £1.1m which meant that profit margins increased from 11% to a quite healthy 13%. EPS increased from 4.82p to 5.25p.

Commenting on the results, Clive Ingham, Trace's new Chairman, said: "It is encouraging to see our bottom line and earnings per share growing and the balance sheet strengthening. The challenge remains to grow both the top and bottom lines. I am pleased to report that we are now finding that vendors' expectations are far more realistic than in the recent past and we are looking at a number of potential acquisitions. We shall also explore partnership opportunities as we seek to continue to grow our company successfully and profitably."

Comment: The fall in turnover is, according to the statement, in the lower margin areas of the business (such as hardware sales and contractor placement), whereas the bespoke solutions and services areas enjoyed growth. So that's some good news. But we have not been impressed with Trace in the past, and the company has had a very chequered financial record. The new management - Ingham (Chairman) and Wolf (Chief Exec) - will have a lot of convincing to do. Ingham said that "Our financial position has never been stronger and so it is a disappointment that our share price remains so low.

The shares ended the month up 26% on 87p.

	Forthcoming IPOs											
Name Activity				" un and the	CS or m Index	Index Class	CALL STREET, LT.	rket	Issue Price	Est	Mkt Cap.	IPO Date
Altodigital	man I Sund	Internet Services	S	Do	tcom	S&S	MA	AIN	tbc	£1	140.0m	early 2001
Cityjobs.com	N Galler	Internet Recruitme	ent	Do	tcom	B2C	A	IM	tbc		tbc	Q1 2001
Cityreach	Street Hard	Internet Services	S	Do	tcom	SS	MA	AIN	tbc	n She	tbc	Q2 2001
Digital Brain	- Margaret	Online Education Se	rvice	S	SCS	CS	TE	BA	tbc	£	50.0m	early 2001
ebookers.com		Online travel comp	any	Do	tcom	B2C	MA	AIN	tbc	С	£50m	H1 2001
elateral		S/w for marketing can	paigns	S	SCS	SP	Ma	ain	tbc	£	90.0m	01-Jun-01
Embedded Solutions	Embedded Solutions Software			SCS SP TBA		3A	tbc		tbc	H1 2001		
Michael Page Consu	ultancy	Recrutiment consul	tant	SCS		A	MA	AIN	tbc	£8	800.0m	01-Apr-01
MoneyGuru	a series in	Online financial serv	vices	Do	tcom	C&M	AI	M	tbc	£	10.0m	Apr-01
Netalogue Technolo	gies	Procurement Softw	are	S	CS	SP	TE	BA	2p	£	.0.8m	Mar-01
Patientline		Healthcare IT		S	CS	SP	AI	M	tbc	£1	00.0m	22-Mar-01
Popkin Software &	Systems	Software & Syste	ms	S	CS	SP	MA	MN	tbc	£	270m	01-Mar-01
theoilsite.com		B2B exchange		Do	tcom	B2B	AI	M	tbc £		5.0m	Mar-01
Timberw eb Online Marketplace Pro			ovider	Do	tcom	B2B	AI	M	tbc	£2	25.0m	early 2001
			R	ecent	IPOs	N. W.						
Name Activity		SCS or		Index	Market	Issue	Market	IPO D	ate	Price end	Premium/	
	Charles - //		Index	Class		Price	Cap.	p.		Dec 00	Discount	
Warthog	Compu	ter games developer	SC	S	SS	AIM	43p	£18.0m	01-Feb	o-01	44p	1%
Tribal Group	Onlin	e Support Services	Doto	com	SS	AIM	165p	£56.3m	23-Feb	o-01	237p	44%

Good progress but no return to the old days

Macro 4 has announced interim 31st Dec. 00. The results reveal

revenue of £21.95m, an increase of 21% on the £17.1m reported for the comparative period in 1999. UK revenue increased from £3.3m to £5.1m, up by 54%, so a lesser increase than that overall. However, the UK has increased its share of overall revenue, which now stands at 23% as opposed the 19% in the same period last year.

PBT was £1.6m, a decrease of 67% from the £4.8m reported in 1999. PBT before exceptional items was £3.3m. Diluted EPS was 1p (before exceptional items, diluted EPS was 7.5p). Chairman, Bert Morris, explained the exceptional items in his statement, "The Group incurred £1.75m of exceptional costs, £1.5m of which were related to a potential acquisition which was investigated in depth during the period'.

Comment - At one time, Macro 4 was in line for a "Boring Award" (we wouldn't have given them one as they were then REALLY Boring!). For far too long they relied on the "cash cow" licence revenues from their mainly old hat mainframe products. As customers defected in droves, Macro 4 slumped. But a complete change of both management and direction has at last resulted in revenue increases. The Group now has a "commitment to the development of customer-focused products and solutions" and is focusing not only on its traditional activities but also on the area which it calls Emerging Systems Management Solutions. Indeed, revenue from the Emerging Systems Management Solutions division almost trebled in this period and now accounts for 27% of the total as opposed to only 12% in 1999. The company has also made a number of acquisitions to strengthen its products range and investigated others during the latter period of 2000. Always "cash rich", at the end of 1999 it had a cash balance of £16m.

However, its profits have slumped as it integrated newly acquired business and spent money investigating new opportunities. Once the acquisitions are fully integrated and the new product innovation begins to bear fruit, we hope to see an upturn in profits as well as turnover (although it seems unlikely that we will see a return to the good old days' when Macro 4 consistently headed our profit margin tables at c50%!). The shares decreased 7% to 835p this month.

Announcements from Lynx

1) it has disposed of its Spanish and UK Automotive Systems Businesses to their respective managements for a total of £6.4m (with Lynx Group retaining a 20% stake in the UK business)

2) its value added services business is to withdraw from the distribution of IBM products, by the end of May 01, 3) and Bankhall's vendors have achieved their max. earnout of £24m as the company achieved a PBT of £5.8m by the designated cut-off date of 31st Dec. 00.

A year of change

DIAGONAL

Diagonal, the IT consulting company specialising in SAP implementation,

EAI and e-commerce, has announced results for the 53 weeks ending 1st Dec. 00. Turnover for the period was £82.7m compared to £72.4m for the 52 weeks ended 28th Nov. 99 - an increase of 14%. PBT decreased by 41% over the same periods from £8.2m to £4.8m and diluted EPS fell by 56% from 7.82p to 3.3p. Graham Creswick, CEO, commented on the outlook for the company, "By adopting the strategy of offering professional services of the highest standard in each of our selected high growth markets, we believe that Diagonal can achieve its ambitions to become the provider of choice and can deliver superior levels of performance".

Comment - It has certainly been a year of considerable change for Diagonal as it moved to a strategy of a higher margin consultancy-led business. The consultancy division was restructured and the newly acquired Eurostar, CenturyCom and Interop businesses became part of the Secure Networks division. On top of the divisional changes, changes at Board level began in Dec. 99 with the resignation of one of the company's founders, Bryan Churcher, followed in Apr. 00 by the resignation of co-founder, Colin Burnside. Many Board changes followed throughout the year but it was in April that Graham Keswick was left with the task of taking the company through a difficult year, starting in June with the responsibility of announcing a disappointing set of first half results blamed on reduced IT spending post Y2K. The second half picked up though with both the consultancy division and Secure Networks divisions showing definite signs of recovery. Now things have settled, we expect to see Diagonal continue to reap the benefits of its shift up the value chain. Share price is down 2% to 313p this month.

Skillsgroup sheds another skin

Skillsgroup – the constantly morphing reseller-to-services company – announced a 41% decrease in turnover to £140.7m for the year ending 30th Nov. 00. However, turnover from continuing operations increased 2% £56.4m. PBT of £11.4m in 99 was converted into a loss of £17.4m Acuma that completed the transition from products distributor to information technology specialist...has taken six years and has been a very major factor in the volatility of our results and share price over that period. The major disposals of our lower margin businesses during the past year...leave us more focused than at any time on Skillsgroup's recent history". Skillsgroup's share price ended the month 3.53% down at

Comment: They've certainly come

a long way since the old P&P days!

Mind you, depending on which way

you look at it, the recent spate of

'spring cleaning' at Skillsgroup

either came somewhat early or

perhaps rather late. New (Nov. 00)

exec. Chairman (and ex-Andersen

Consulting/Accenture 'lifer') Keith

Burgess came on board and

oversaw the final 'purge' of non-core businesses (especially the heavily

loss-making reseller arm, Acuma) and is ready to start with a "clean sheet". Well, almost. He has

restructured the company into three

training-related divisions plus

consulting (mostly the 'old' Pontis business) and they are to rename

137p.



and diluted EPS of 10.7p in 99 turned into a loss per share of 18.4p. Revenue at 'core' business QA Training rose 6% to £44.4m – they are still the largest UK IT training company – and 'base' profit grew 26% to £9.6m. But revenue at other 'core' business, Pontis Consulting, dropped 10% to £12m – it's the Y2K thing again – and profits dropped 16% to £2.7m. But the 'problem children' were IT staff agency (ITSA) QA Myriad (sold to Hays in Jun. 00) where revenue halved to c£31m, and loss-making reseller arm Acuma, finally sold off in Dec. 00. Skillsgroup had also 'invested' £6.6m in web initiatives across all its businesses. Skillsgroup's Chairman Dr Keith Burgess, reported that "the disposal of

themselves QA plc.

We've been saying for years that they needed to find some knitting and stick to it and we completely agree that IT training is the right choice – even though we have doubts on the long-term viability of the products side, based around the recently acquired DMT. We're also not sold on the idea that Pontis really 'belongs' – but it's probably too small to jettison yet and at least it's bringing in some profit, which Skillsgroup sorely needs. Although we question the return they'll get from the c£7m spent on web initiatives, we think they're at least now pointing roughly in the right direction, so it would be churlish of us not to give Burgess and his team at the 'new' QA a 'fair go'.

Lorien holds its nerve!

As expected, Lorien reported lower revenues and deeper losses for the year ended 26th Nov. 00. Turnover dropped



20% to £111.6m, pre-tax losses deepened 65% to £2.7m, and loss per share increased to 12.1p. But there was mitigation and some cautiously'good' news too. £1.8m of the losses were the write-off from the disposal of PDN, plus there was another £400K in one off costs relating to property and the aborted sale to an 'unnamed' US player last year. The 'good' news is that business picked up in H2 for the core IT staffing business (this is now 80% of Lorien's revenue) and gross margins in the other businesses (Specialist Services and Consulting) also improved in H2. Net debt and interest payments are also down. Exec Chair-

man Bert Morris believes the cupboard is now clean and "the long term outlook for the Group is positive".

Comment: Since Morris stepped into the exec. Chairman role in 1999 he has instigated and overseen a massive overhaul of Lorien's operations. As a result a lot of cost (inc. bodies) have been taken out of various parts of the business, other bits (PDN) sold off, and focus restored to the core ITSA business.They've seen orders pick up in H2 (to Nov. 00) and beyond - but they know they're not out of the woods yet. They've also put the failed sale behind them. OK, we still don't think their Specialist Services and Consulting business really 'belong', but so long as Lorien can put them

back into consistent profit - and make sure they are not a drain on cash - we can't criticise them for keeping these businesses on for the short term at least. We congratulate Morris on taking some tough decisions and we'd now say they have turned the corner - but we'd like to see a couple of years of progress (i.e. profits) before we can truly say they're back on track.

Sema wears cost of ill-omened LHS

In the wake of the agreed offer from **Schlumberger** (see below), **Sema Group** caught most analysts (including us) on the hop and brought forward their announcement of their full year 2000 results by a few days. Total turnover (inc. five months of the ill-omened LHS acquisition) grew 7% to £1.51billion – but organic growth was under 4%. Operating profit was a shade under line-ball at £94m, but the massive goodwill amortisation bill for LHS pushed Sema into a pre-tax loss of £49.3m (inc. £8m operating losses at LHS on just £49m revenue) resulting in a 13.1p loss per share. At the country level, Italy was the shining star, with revenue up 35% to £127m, although UK was about the only other major country showing an increase – up 13% to £587m. France was in the doldrums due to the 35 hour week plus

damage done by LHS. Their web business has not grown as fast as anticipated (no surprises there then) and indeed they now expect to focus most of this effort on their established customers rather than see much more in the way of new business. So the big question (for us, anyway) is how 'comfortably' Sema will sit in its new parent company - they will need to work hard to ensure their established customers stay with them when the mega-deal contracts come up for renewal.

Sema is dead. Long live "new Sema"!

As we all now know, Sema and Schlumberger agreed terms of a recommended cash offer - 560p for each Sema share valuing Sema at £3.6bn. If it all goes ahead, Schlumberger will merge its own IT services operation (massive – some 10,000 staff) with Sema (c21,000 employees) and, voila.



"new Sema" is born. The offer has been accepted by 21% of shareholders (France Telecom and BNP Paribas) and documents were filed at LSE & SEC on 22 February. If all goes to plan, they are looking for the offer to go unconditional mid-March assuming there's no other firm bidder – and we'd have to say we don't think one will surface with as much cash on the table.

We were at first mystified as to the rationale behind the deal. In the initial press release, Schlumberger talks

general Y2K malaise, especially in outsourcing, and Germany and Sweden also belonged in the kennels. At 39% of total revenue UK is now by far Sema's largest market, double the size of France, a mere 19%. *Vive la difference!* By line of business, Sema's SI revenue grew just 2% to £636m but margins dropped from 8.7% to 6.7%. about using Sema to boost its IT service capabilities in its 'focus' markets, primarily oilfield services, energy & utilities, and smartcard technology (they recently boosted the latter with the acquisition of Bull's smartcard unit). Yeah, but the last year we had detailed numbers (1999), Sema only got about 7% of its revenue from Energy & Utilities sector. Its

Outsourcing revenue dropped the merest shade to £463m but margins improved markedly from 5.4% to 8.3% - a really top-end result. Of course, it was Sema's (telecomms) products business (inc. LHS) that caused most grief, with revenue down 9% to £232m and losses deepening from £2m to £7.7m. Sema's small - but perfectly formed - disaster recovery business saw revenue shoot up 23% to £46m although margins dropped from c20% to just over 14%. In the analyst telecon, Sema's long time CEO Pierre Bonelli commented how the market came good at the end of the year, with order books full (there is apparently £850m already earmarked for 2001 turnover), etc., but the damage is done. Sema's outgoing chairman Sir Julian Oswald felt the results were "disappointing" compared to previous years but "believes that the strategic direction of Sema remains sound". Well, we'd say that's very much in the hands of the (prospective) new owners, wouldn't you?

Comment: Like almost every other SCS company, Sema greatly underestimated the impact of Y2K ... and then went and bought LHS. The rest, as they say, is about to become history. On the bright side, their major restructuring mid-2000 seems to have paid dividends in their outsourcing business (especially in the UK) in margin although not in revenue growth. Sema has said the products business is now picking up – but it'll need to pick up fast to repair the



largest markets worldwide are public sector (26%), Finance (19%) and Telecoms (17%) - which also includes LHS, the visible cause of Sema's downfall. In the UK, of course, Sema has a number of major public sector outsourcing contracts, notably the £300m+/5year deal at DSS Benefits Agency, signed in Feb. 98, along with 'sundry' other contracts in sensitive areas like Met. Police, and even the Labour Party. Hardly 'core' to Schlumberger's business. *Continued on page 14*

System House

Sema - contd from Page 13

We are even more mystified by Schlumberger's view that increasing their exposure to the IT sector will help protect them from cyclical swings in their core energy business. That kind of assumes that when the oil business goes down, then the IT sector will be on the rise. Hmmmm. Also, there was much in the official release about how good the deal is for Schlumberger - not much (i.e. nothing) about how good it is for Sema's customers!

We have since spoken to Sema and the mists are clearing a bit. Schlumberger needs much greater SI and outsourcing capability than it has at present to keep its main rivals (IBM, EDS, et al) at bay with its very well 'oiled' customers. Sema also needed a global network for much the same reason - Schlumberger owns one of the world's largest global IP networks. Sema had been talking to Schlumberger about a JV since last July - but the profits warning in Nov. 00 added a certain sense of urgency. Clearly, Schlumberger had to 'fish or cut bait' else one of

Outlook looks good at Staffware

Staffware, "a leader in business process automation" which moved from AIM to a full listing in Apr. 00, has announced full year results for the period ending 31st Dec. 00. Turnover



for the period has increased from £23.2m to £37.9m - an increase of 63% - £912K of this was attributable to acquisitions. PBT increased by an impressive 262% from £841K to £3.0m and diluted EPS rose from 4.2p to 10.4p. CEO John A. O'Connell commented on outlook, "Trading in the year to date is significantly ahead of the corresponding period last year and sales prospects remain high".

Comment: Well, what a super set of results. O'Connell and his team at Staffware have every reason to be pleased with the company's performance in 2000. Stripping out the revenue from acquisitions, (US-based MarketForce in May and Australian FPS in Sep.) Staffware's organic revenue grew 60%. The lion's share comes from the core Enterprise division (software and services that automate an organisation's internal business processes), which grew 50% to £34.8m. Enterprise generated all the profits in the year. The eProcess division, launched in Feb. 00, got off to a good start with £3.1m revenue. O'Connell says that take-



A high level of repeat business

Epic, the "UK's leading e-learning company" has announced interim results for the six months to 30th Nov. 00. Turnover for the period was up 95% to £3.71m and PBT increased 163% to £567K. Diluted earnings per share were up 155% to 2.17p. Epic claims that the successful results have "been fuelled by demand for e-learning solutions from existing and new the more usual suspects would have pounced. We think merging these two IT services giants will be a huge challenge - but there again it would have been with any of the other players. Apparently the two bits of "new Sema" will remain mainly separate - just the common energy/ utilities etc parts will be integrated - which, OK, reduces initial disruption, but potentially makes it harder to get the economies of scale and common infrastructure that they will surely need to provide a 'global' operation. Arguably the cultural 'fit' with Schlumberger is better than with a wholly US-owned company, but that has to be proven. We are still of a mind that - much like ICL - the sum of Sema's parts is worth more than the whole - but we'd have to say at 560p cash in hand, and with time rapidly running out, we can understand why they did the deal.

By the way, with the 'demise' of Sema, there is now no even remotely UK-owned player left in the Top Ten list of leading suppliers of software and IT services to the UK market. A sorry situation indeed.

up of the eProcess offering was "faster than originally anticipated', but who's complaining?

eProcess is Staffware's pitch at the automation of businesses processes conducted over the internet. It

comprises 4 units: eCRM (the integrated Staffware and MarketForce CRM product suite), New Product Development in the SCM arena (for which a "template application" is now available), eProcurement (in alliance with Biomni, due for launch in Apr.) and eBanking (in partnership with AIT, to be launched in early March). All this has cost £6.9m to develop, in addition to the £6.3m spend on R&D (maintained at 17% of revenues). Staffware expects a further £11m investment in eProcess during FY01. But the return on this investment is coming through, with 35 customers on board, and real

evidence of cross-selling from the established Enterprise division's customer base, to Abbey National, Deutsche Bank and others. The eProcess division is expected to breakeven in the FY02, and move into "significant profits" in FY03.

Staffware has a mix of international clients, with 53% or revenues generated by its core Enterprise operation, coming from overseas, and sells across many vertical markets. Indeed, 2000 was a bumper year for Staffware in the telco sector with revenues now accounting for 17% of sales (compared to 5% in 99) in its Enterprise division.

The outlook looks good too, especially selling into the utilities and government sectors, which were slow in the uptake of workflow automation initially. We would like to see revenues from professional services accelerate from its current 21% of total revenue, but let's not be churlish. With both of Staffware's chosen markets - workflow and CRM - growing rapidly FY01 should not disappoint. The shares are trading this month 14% down at 1350p.

customers...we are pleased to see a high level of repeat business". New clients have been won in the financial sector, as well as services, manufacturing, retail and telecoms. The Group is working with training organisations such as Skillsgroup to take their content online and has also recruited an e-government team.

Epic's share price has decreased 8% this month and finished on 364p.



Hoorah! They're really coming home!

ECsoft, "the first wholly European IT

ecsol services company to complete an IPO on NASDAQ" is to downgrade its NASDAQ listing to an 'over-the-counter' programme "due to the continued shrinking of the ADR shareholder base and the high cost of maintaining the listing". ECsoft will continue to report quarterly this year at least - and only in Sterling (UK GAAP). As this takes effect in 30 days, their current full year results (to 31st Dec. 00) are still reported in both £ and \$. But the news wasn't that bright. Although top-line revenue grew 9% to £73.2m, organic revenue dropped 4% to £64.9m. Operating profit pre-goodwill etc. almost disappeared - down 78% to just £749K - turning last year's OP after goodwill etc. from a c£1m 'plus' to a £2.6m 'minus'. It was only bank interest received of £2m that kept PBT above the line though this dropped 60% to £1.37m. But a £2m tax bill left them with an after-tax loss of £627K (was +£2m in 1999). Loss per share was 4.8p (EPS=17.3p in 1999).

It was the Scandinavian business that was the 'problem child'. Revenue fell 15% to £30.8m, but profits of c£2m turned to losses of £1.3m (pre-goodwill etc). Indeed, they appointed a new MD in Norway in Jul. 00 as it announced its first ever operating loss for Q2 00. UK grew revenue 5% to £27.4m but OP soared 73% to £1.74m. Business in rest of Europe was boosted by acquisitions earlier in the year revenue tripling to £15m, though OP fell 31% to £300K.

New CEO Jerry Ellis (joined as COO in Apr. 00 then ascended the throne in Dec. 00) reported "progressive improvement' during H2 by "restructuring and strengthening the management team ... (and) focusing on our core competencies".

ECsoft also announced that Keith Todd (ex-ICL supremo) and Ken Briddon (ex-JBA CEO) have joined as NEDs.

Comment: We had a very pleasant lunch with CEO Jerry Ellis this month and he was pretty blunt about the situation he found-ECsoft in when he joined. He has set about some serious spring-cleaning, both at the management level and also at the business level, disposing of their managed services business to Dutch PinkRoccade last month. The (in effect) de-listing from NASDAQ means they can escape from the problems of unfavourable exchange rates which are depressing the reporting in \$ of non-US activities and 'confusing' US analysts. Indeed, they don't have any offices in the US and the NASDAQ listing (Dec. 96) has served its purpose. They have been listed on the LSE since Jul. 98 where we think all UK companies belong! At least business improved for ECsoft in H2 (especially in Q4), so hopefully the worst is now behind them.

Mind you, whether new NEDs Keith Todd & Ken Briddon are the 'right' role models for their business must be up for debate!

Share price is up 14% this month on 925p.

No more Hackney's in the closet at ITNET

Outsourcing company ITNET 'took it on the chin' when they announced pre-tax losses of £1.4m for the year ending 31st Dec. 00. Total turnover for the year rose 21% to £158.9m, including c£22m from acquisitions French Thornton and Easams. Organic growth was a more modest 5% to £137m. Loss per Share

BPS is down to 16% - a market ITNET (with measured understatement) calls "challenging". They also announced two new ASP contracts (Enfield and Worcestershire), an ASP partnership with US-based software company Novient, and 'preferred supplier' status at SW Regional Development Agency for desktop services. The order book is very strong



- up 23% to £270m (exc. Hackney) - and £98m is 'in the bag' for 2001. CEO Bridget Blow expressed "confidence that much improved levels of profitability and shareholder value will be achieved in 2001". Comment: It was of course the ill-fated contract at Hackney that was the main cause of the woes at ITNET which prompted (a) a change in accounting policy, (b) a restatement of previous years' profits,

System House

was 0.18p compared to EPS of 0.6p in 99. ITNET's core IT services activities (mainly outsourcing) did quite well, with revenue up 11% to £78m, but revenue from their business process services (mainly revenue and benefits processing in local authorities) only grew 3% to £26m. E-business grew fastest at 30% but this contributes just £8m to revenues. Revenue from application outsourcing (application development and management plus their new ASP service) fell 12% to £25m - mainly victim to Y2K. ITNET has done a good job at building up its commercial sector business this is now over half (53%) of total revenue compared to 43% last year, helped by the £35m/4year deal at Equitas.

and (c) a pre-tax loss - although goodwill amortisation also 'helped'. There's still some £600K provision set aside in 2001 to flush out the residue of the Hackney deal, but after that ITNET will be able to start again with a 'clean sheet'. Nonetheless, we still believe that ITNET's underlying business and strategy is sound - there's a lot more opportunity yet in local government - along with the inroads they are making in the private sector. But it ain't going to be easy! Of course, this also assumes there are no more 'Hackneys in the closet' - and we are certainly happy to trust Bridget Blow's assurance that there aren't. ITNET's share price has fallen 11% this month to 223p.

Another "pearl in the crown" at CMG

CMG has announced preliminary results for the year to 31st Dec. 00. Turnover was £810.4m up from £608.6m in 99 - an increase of 33%, PBT of £83.1m is down from the £83.6m reported in 99, although only a decrease of 0.6% and diluted either e- or m- related. Revenue for Wireless Data Solutions increased by 112% in 2000, from £73.2m to £155.3m (revenue from acquired business accounted for about 6% of total revenue in this area). PBT was up from £11.6m to £42.3m - a 264% increase (£1.5m of goodwill amortisation was attributable to



EPS fell from 10.7p to 7.8p. Cor Stutterheim, Chairman, commented, "We are experiencing some slowing in the growth of demand for telecom products in the beginning of the year...we are stepping up our product development, demonstrating management's belief in long-term growth projects. This will impact our short-term margin."

Comment - This was CMG's first set of full year results since the **Admiral** acquisition - the integration of Admiral was completed at the end of last year. The two companies were similar sizes in the UK, which saw an increase in turnover, including the sevenmonth contribution from Admiral, of 64% from £125.9m to £206.1m. PBT in the UK increased by 105% to £21.1m. Organic revenue growth was c7% in the UK, however this figure was higher in H2 at 11%.

The area of most interest was Wireless Data Solutions, which now reports separately (not as part of the individual countries). Stutterheim referred to this as "*another pearl in the crown*" for CMG and an area that offered outstanding opportunities for the company - everything, he said, that we do at the moment, is the Wireless Data Solutions division). With the increased importance of Wireless Data Solutions has come an increase in R&D spend - expected to be upped by 50% in 2001.

The company has seen a slowdown in demand from the telecoms products sector in the first half of 2001, but expects demand to pick up again in H2 as operators "gear up for the December peak". Despite the large 3G licence debt of the mobile operators and the risk that some may go broke, perhaps resulting in a downturn in spend in the sector, CMG states that it expects "wireless data solutions business to grow significantly faster than the traditional services business over the next few years, and become an increasingly important part of Group revenue and profits". Stutterheim does not believe the

mobile operators will *"shoot themselves in the foot by not providing the capacity that their customers need"*. CMG does state, however, that it is likely the company will see

more growth in the industries of trade, transport and industry over the next year (which only experienced a 1% increase in turnover in 2000) and less in growth in the telecoms sector. The good thing about CMG is that (unlike Logica) it is not quite so exposed to the telco arena and it is those LARGE companies like CGEY, EDS etc that have a BROAD spread that will weather the storm best. In addition, CMG has also always boasted very flexible resourcing allowing consultants to move around the company to allow for changes in demand. Also reducing risk in the telco area, the Wireless Data Solutions offerings will now be extended beyond the traditional mobile operator customer base to other commercial enterprises, "seeking to exploit the benefits of wireless data". So despite believing that demand from the wireless sector will continue to grow, a broad spread, wider customer base and flexible resourcing should go some way to helping CMG if the mobile operators do hit trouble

URDER	R FORM							
From:	Delivery address:							
Constant and the second s								
2000 IT Staff Agency Report	2001 e-business services Report							
Master copy @ £1750								
	Master copy @ £1750							
2000 Holway Report	CEDOO - WAT Additional agains @ C10EO							
	cage £5900 + VAT Additional copies @ £1050							
<u>System House</u> (including access to Hotnews)								
One year's subscription @ £495 p.a. "5 - copy subscription" @ £99								
9th edition Software and IT Services Industry in Europe I								
	e £8,850 + VAT Additional copies @ £2,000 + VAT each							
Cheque payable to Ovum Ltd.								
Address. Ovum Holway, 2, St George's Yard, Ch	eque enclosed Please invoice my company							
Farnham, Surrey, GU9 7LW.								
Signed:	Date:							
System House® is published monthly by Ovum Holway, 2, St George's Yard, Farnham, Surrey, GU9 7LW. Telephone 01252 740900; Fax: 01252 740919;								
E-mail Internet: mail @ ovumholway.com which also publishes the annual "Holway Report" and the "Software and Computing Services Industry in Europe Report".								
Richard Holway has been a director of several computing services companies and might hold stock in companies featured. © 2000 Ovum Limited. The information contained in this publication may not be reproduced without the written permission of the publishers. Whilst every care has been								
taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this document, the publishers cannot be held responsible for any errors or any consequences thereof. Subscribers								
are advised to take independent advice before taking any action. System House ® is a registered trademark of Ovum Limited.								